IGF-1R Pathway Mediates LQB-118 Antitumoral Activity in Leukemia Resistant Cells
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Abstract

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), a myeloproliferative disorder characterized by the BCR-ABL oncoprotein, presents its treatment based on tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), mainly imatinib. However, despite its clinical success, almost 30% of all CML patients demand alternative therapy. In this context, the development of drugs capable of overcoming TKIs resistance is imperative. LQB-118 is a compound with anti-tumour effect in two CML cell lines (K562, sensitive and K562-Lucena, resistant) whose mechanism of action is being elucidated. Here, we demonstrate that combined treatment of CML cell lines with imatinib and LQB-
118 increased cell death and that microarray analysis of CML cells treated with LQB-118 presented several differentially expressed genes. Also, IGF-1R, AKT and mTOR protein levels are decreased after LQB-118 treatment and the compound alters the expression of all members of miR-29 family. CML cells silenced for IGF-1R were less sensitive to LQB-118 treatment than negative control and protein levels of IGF-1R and AKT were no longer altered after LQB-118 or imatinib treatment. Taken together, our results highlight IGF-1R/AKT/mTOR as a critical axis for LQB-118 activity and demonstrate that LQB-118 is effective in sensitizing CML cells to TKI, contributing to the development of a more efficient therapy.
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1. Introduction

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative disorder characterized by the fusion of ABL1 oncogene and the BCR gene. The BCR-ABL protein originated from the fusion of these genes leads to inhibition of tumor suppressor genes, increased genomic instability and activation of survival pathways [1-3]. Several signaling pathways are activated by BCR-ABL, such as MAPK, JNK, PI3K, NFkB, STAT, Scr Kinases and Myc [4, 5]. Imatinib mesylate, the first tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for CML treatment, acts on BCR-ABL cellular signaling, by inhibiting the function of this kinase in phosphorylating target substrates [6]. However, despite the response improvement of CML patients to imatinib, or second and third generation TKIs, about 30% of patients will present treatment resistance based on several molecular mechanisms [7-9]. Therefore, the development of new compounds that can overcome TKIs resistance mechanisms is imperative. The compound LQB-118 has demonstrated to be effective against CML and AML cells, without toxicity when administrated in mice [10-13].

Recently, we have provided some information about molecular pathways possibly involved in LQB-118 response. It has been demonstrated that LQB-118 inhibits XIAP, Survivin and P-glycoprotein expression and modulates protein expression and subcellular localization of the transcription factors NFkB and FOXO3a [10-12, 14]. Thus, we aimed to extend the studies of LQB-118 effects and molecular mechanism of action in CML cell lines with different resistance phenotypes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Cell lines and compounds

The human CML cell line K562 and its vincristine-resistant derivative K562-Lucena [15] were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C in humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2. K562-Lucena cells were maintained in the presence of 60 nM vincristine. Pterocarpanquinone-LQB-118 was synthesized in the Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry, IPPN, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil [16]. Imatinib mesylate was purchased from Santa Cruz Technologies (USA). Stock solutions of both compounds were prepared at 25 mM in DMSO and stored at -20°C. Cell lines genotypes were confirmed by short tandem repeat (STR), followed by comparison with ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, http://www.atcc.org) data available. The
cell lines were also evaluated for Mycoplasma contamination by PCR.

2.2 Cell death assay
Induction of cell death by the compounds (isolated or combined) and after IGF-1R inhibition was evaluated by Annexin V/PI assay, following manufacturer’s instructions (FITC-labeled Annexin V from Invitrogen). Cells fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry (CyAn ADP Beckman Coulter Inc; Brea, CA, US) and analyzed using Summit v4.3 software. The percentage of cell death was calculated as a percentage of Annexin V+ cells (annexin V+/PI and annexin V'/PI') in the presence or absence of the compounds. The significance of combined treatment was evaluated by statistical analysis followed by the interaction ratio (R) whenever it was statistically significant. The R was proposed by Fischell and colleagues [17]: R = survival (LQB-118 + imatinib) / (survival LQB-118 x survival imatinib). When R < 0.8 there is a synergic effect, while 0.8 < R < 1.2 is an additive effect, and R > 1.2 is an antagonistic effect.

2.3 DNA microarray
For DNA microarray analysis, 200 ng of mRNA of K562 and Lucena cells treated with LQB-118 at 0.75 µM and 1.5 µM for 48 h were used. All the reactions were realized in thermo cycler Mastercycler Personal (Eppendorf, Germany). Gene expression profiles were obtained with the GeneChip Human Gene 1.0 ST Array (Affymetrix, Singapore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and all experiments were carried out in duplicate. Data were analyzed with different packages from Bioconductor (R version 2.12.0; www.bioconductor.org). Data pre-processing, background correction, normalization and expression estimates were carried out with the GCRMA package [18]. Differentially expressed genes were analyzed with a GENFILTER [19] for removing Affymetrix control probes and genes that exhibit low variance across samples, with duplicate entries, or without a corresponding “entrez gene tag”. The identification of differentially expressed genes among the samples tested was realized using a statistical model selected from the LIMMA package [20]. Significance was analyzed by the moderated t-statistics method and the false discovery rate (FDR) controlled by the Benjamini and Hochberg (BH) method [21] (<0.05 p-values indicative of differences in biological functions). The gene expression comparison cutoff criteria accounted for p < 0.01 (after BH correction), followed by an absolute expression difference of (fold change) ≥ 2×. Genes demonstrated as differentially expressed were analyzed for biological functions with Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (Ingenuity® Systems, www.ingenuity.com) and classified by Gene Ontology (GO). In order to estimate the probability that each biological function assigned to datasets was due to chance, the Right-tailed Fisher’s Exact Test was used.

2.4 Western blotting
For protein expression analysis, Western blotting was performed according to a protocol previously described [14]. Briefly, protein samples (25 - 30 µg) were subjected to SDS-PAGE on 6% and 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to Hybond-P membranes (Amershan Biosciences, USA). The blots were blocked and incubated with antibodies against β-actin (1:3000, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), p-mTOR (1:1000, Invitrogen, USA), mTOR (1:1000, Invitrogen, USA), IGF-1R (1:1000, Cell Signaling, USA), HSC70 (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) overnight. Blots were then incubated for 1 h
with secondary antibodies (HRP)-labelled anti-mouse and anti-rabbit (Amersham Biosciences, USA). Antibody complexes were visualised with the ECL Prime Detection System (Amersham Biosciences, USA), membranes were scanned using C-Digit™ Blot Scanner and images were generated using Image Studio Lite software (Li-cor Biosciences, USA).

2.5 RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)
RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR from CML cell lines treated with LQB-118 3.0 µM for 24 h were realized following the protocol demonstrated in [14]. After cDNA synthesis, mature miRNA-29a, miRNA-29b, miRNA-29c and RNU6B (ID 001093) expressions were detected using hsa-miR-29a (ID 2112), hsa-miR-29b (ID 413) and hsa-miR-29c (ID 587) probes (TaqMan® MicroRNA Assay), following the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed in the StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA).

2.6 IGF-1R siRNA
For IGF-1R inhibition, Lucena cells were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected using 100 nM siRNA or negative control siRNA (Cell Signaling), performing an optimized protocol previously described [22]. After 48 h of IGF-1R siRNA incubation, the cells were once again seeded for imatinib (1.0 µM) and LQB-118 (3.0 µM) treatment. At the end of a 48h period, the cells were collected for protein extraction and cell death evaluation.

2.7 Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (USA). Statistical significance was calculated by student’s t test, Mann Whitney or ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni post-test.

3. Results and Discussion
Second and third generation TKI are well stablished in overcoming BCR-ABL-dependent resistance phenotype, except for the T315I mutation. However, the molecular mechanisms of TKI resistance that are independent of BCR-ABL [9] protein can be important targets for new antitumor compounds. Previously our group has demonstrated that LQB-118 exerts its antitumor activity in K562 and Lucena cell lines without inhibition of BCR-ABL activity [11]. Several studies have been demonstrating that combination of TKI and new compounds presents promising results. Natural compounds, like α-bisabolol and neferine, sensitize CML cell to TKI treatment [23, 24]. Thus, the combined use of LQB-118 and imatinib could offer benefits to the group of patients resistant to standard therapy. In this context, LQB-118 was simultaneously associated with imatinib to evaluate a possible enhanced effect in apoptosis induction after combination. We evaluated the effect of combining LQB-118 (3.0 µM) and subclinical doses of imatinib (0.2 µM and 0.4 µM) in CML cell lines. After 48h, imatinib (0.2 µM and 0.4 µM) and LQB-118 (3.0 µM) treatment alone induced cell death in K562 and Lucena cell lines. However, only the combination of imatinib 0.4 µM with LQB-118 promoted a statistically significant increase in cell death in comparison to isolated treatments (p < 0.05) (Figures 1A-C). Afterwards, the interaction ratio demonstrated an additive effect between LQB-118 and imatinib in K562 and Lucena cells (R = 0.94 and 1.02, respectively). Therefore, these preliminary results suggest that LQB-118 may sensitize CML cell lines to low doses of imatinib.
Figure 1: Effect of LQB-118 associated with imatinib on cell death in CML cell lines. (A) Representative dot plot of combined treatment of LQB-118 with imatinib in K562 cell line and (B) in Lucena cell line. (C) Percentage of Annexin V positive cells (annexinV⁻/PI⁻ + annexinV⁺/PI⁺) in K562 and Lucena cell lines, evaluated by flow cytometry, after combined treatment of LQB-118 with imatinib. Mean of three independent experiments ±SD.

In a previous study, we demonstrated that NFκB and miRNAs -9 and -21 were modulated after LQB-118 treatment in CML cell lines K562 and Lucena [14]. Therefore, in order to provide a better understanding on LQB-118 mechanisms of action, we evaluated differences in global gene expression profile by DNA microarray of CML cell lines treated with 1.5 µM of LQB-118 for 48h. Gene expression profile of K562 and Lucena after treatment with LQB-118 were compared to control cells. The gene results presenting fold change difference ≥ 2X were considered as differentially expressed. Comparisons between K562 and Lucena treated with LQB-118 identified 176 differentially expressed genes. The number of overexpressed genes after treatment with LQB-118 for K562 and Lucena cells was 85 and 36, respectively. For down-regulated genes, K562 and Lucena cells treated with the compound presented 26 and 39 genes with underexpression, respectively (Figure 2A). The differentially expressed genes in K562 and Lucena cells treated with LQB-118 were classified by gene ontology and grouped by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. This analysis demonstrated several biological functions and signaling pathways related to CML as modulated by the compound (Figure 2A). Among them, PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis and IGF-1R were shown to be down-regulated in cells treated with LQB-118.
Figure 2: Microarray analysis and validation of differentially expressed genes after LQB-118 treatment in K562 and Lucena cell lines. (A) Schematic representation of the differentially expressed genes and modulated pathways of CML cells after 48h treatment with 1.5 μM LQB-118. In red, the genes that are differentially expressed after LQB-118 treatment in comparison to control cells (http://www.genome.jp/). (B) Akt, pAkt, mTOR and p-mTOR expression levels were analyzed after LQB-118 treatment (3.0 μM for 24h) in K562 and Lucena cell lines. (C) IGF-1R expression level in K562 and Lucena cell lines. (D) IGF-1R expression levels were analyzed after LQB-118 treatment (3.0 μM for 24h) in K562 and Lucena cell lines. Figure representative of three independent experiments.

BCR-ABL inhibition by TKI results in a consecutive inhibition of downstream pathways. However, even in a scenario of BCR-ABL inhibition, leukemic cells survival remains due to the activation of pathways like PI3K/AKT/mTOR [25, 26]. Thus, we evaluated protein expression of AKT and mTOR in those cells, in order to validate microarray analysis and to elucidate LQB-118 mechanism of action. K562 and Lucena cell lines treated with LQB-118 for 24h presented reduced levels of AKT and mTOR proteins, without modulating p-mTOR expression (Figure 2B). PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is frequently deregulated in hematological neoplasias and is associated with poor prognosis [27]. Several studies have suggested members of this pathway as promising targets for therapy. The use of PI3K/mTOR inhibitors, such as
NVPBEZ235, everolimus or LY294002, sensitize CML stem cells or TKI resistant cells to second and third generation TKI [26, 28, 29]. Therefore, the reduction of AKT and mTOR protein expression by LQB-118 can be associated to the antitumor activity of the compound.

Our group has also demonstrated reduced levels of AKT protein induced by LQB-118 in glioblastoma cells [30] and in acute myeloid leukemia cell lines (unpublished data). For that, we continued to explore possible mechanisms regulated by LQB-118 that could modulate AKT protein expression. miRNAs play an important role in regulation of several cellular functions, like proliferation and survival. Deregulated miRNAs are involved in a variety of hematological neoplasia and are key regulators of PI3K/AKT/mTOR, suggesting miRNAs as possible therapeutic targets [28]. miR-29 family members (miR-29a, -29b e -29c) are described as tumor suppressors in a variety of cancers. They are less expressed in CML patients and overexpression of some members are associated with proliferation reduction and apoptosis induction in K562 cell line [31, 32]. Also, PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is one of miR-29 targets for inhibition [33]. Reduced levels of AKT observed after LQB-118 treatment could be associated to its post-transcriptional regulation by miRNAs. Because of that, we evaluated miR-29 family members (-29a, -29b and -29c) expression, after 24h of 3.0 μM LQB-118 incubation. miR-29a expression was slightly increased in K562 cell line and it was decreased in Lucena cells (Figures 3A and 3B). The levels of miR-29b did not alter in K562 cells, but were decreased in Lucena cells treated with LQB-118 (Figures 3A and 3B). miR-29c expression was reduced in both CML cell lines after LQB-118 treatment (Figures 3A and 3B). Our results point out that reduced AKT expression by LQB-118 is not mediated by miR-29 family.
Figure 3: Effect of LQB-118 treatment on miRNA-29a, -29b and -29c expressions. (A) miR-29a, -29b and -29c expression pattern after 24h exposure of 3.0 μM LQB-118 in K562 cells. (B) miR-29a, -29b and -29c expression pattern after 24h exposure of 3.0 μM LQB-118 in Lucena cells. miRNA expressions were normalized by RNU6B. Graphics demonstrate two independent experiments (#1 and #2) for each miRNA and cell line.

Microarray analysis also pointed out that Insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) receptor, a well known regulator of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway was possibly modulated by LQB-118. IGF-1R is a tyrosine kinase receptor that, once activated by IGF1, triggers various signaling pathways, especially PI3K/AKT/mTOR and MAPK pathways [34, 35]. It has been previously demonstrated that IGF-1R is overexpressed in cells of CML patients in blast phase and its overexpression in hematopoietic cells promotes cellular proliferation and survival [25, 34]. Also, IGF-1R is constitutively active in K562, a blast phase derived cell line, and Lucena presents even higher expression of IGF-1R in comparison to its parental cell line (Figure 2C) [25]. For that, we aimed to evaluate IGF-1R expression after LQB-118 treatment. The compound reduced protein levels of IGF-1R in K562 and Lucena cell lines, despite the differences in IGF-1R expression (Figure 2D).

The inhibition of this pathway induces cell death in resistant cells by blocking proliferative downstream
pathways, such as PI3K/AKT/mTOR and MAPK. Therefore, IGF-1R down-regulation mediated by LQB-118 may be an important event for its antitumor activity. Thus, we sought to investigate the effect of LQB-118 after IGF-1R inhibition by siRNA. IGF-1R was silenced in Lucena cells, since it is the cell line presenting the highest level of its expression. After silencing, the cells from negative control (scramble – SCR) and siRNA IGF-1R were incubated for 48h with 1.0 μM of imatinib and 3.0 μM of LQB-118. IGF-1R inhibition did not sensitize Lucena cells to imatinib treatment (cell death index of 34% for SCR and 32% for siRNA IGF-1R). However, cells silenced for IGF-1R were less sensitive to LQB-118 treatment than negative control (40% and 54%, respectively) (Figures 4A and 4B). Moreover, we evaluated the expression of IGF-1R and AKT after treatment with imatinib and LQB-118 of negative control and siRNA IGF-1R cells. Corroborating the previous data, LQB-118 and imatinib treatment reduced IGF-1R and AKT protein expression in negative control cells. Nevertheless, protein levels of IGF-1R and AKT were not altered after LQB-118 or imatinib treatment in IGF-1R silenced cells (Figure 4C). Thus, our results indicate that LQB-118 is partially dependent of IGF-1R, suggesting that resistant patients presenting IGF-1R overexpression would benefit from LQB-118 therapy.
Figure 4: Effect of LQB-118 treatment in Lucena cell line after IGF-1R inhibition by siRNA. (A) Representative dot plot of Lucena cells silenced for IGF-1R (siRNA IGF-1R and negative control (SCR) after 24h treatment with 3.0 μM LQB-118 or 1.0 μM imatinib. (B) Percentage of Annexin V positive cells (annexinV+/PI− + annexinV+/PI+) in Lucena cells silenced for IGF-1R (siRNA IGF-1R) and negative control (SCR) after 24h treatment with 3.0 μM LQB-118 or 1.0 μM imatinib. Mean of three independent experiments ±SD. (C) Akt, and IGF-1R expression levels were analyzed by Western blotting in Lucena cells silenced for IGF-1R (siRNA IGF-1R and negative control (SCR) after 24h treatment with 3.0 μM LQB-118 or 1.0 μM imatinib. Figure representative of three independent experiments.

Taken together, our results expand our knowledge about the molecular pathways involved in LQB-118 mechanism of action, since it demonstrates that IGF1-R/PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway play an important role in LQB-118 antitumoral activity. Moreover, the data presented in this report demonstrate that LQB-118 is effective in sensitizing CML cells to TKI, contributing to the development of a more efficient therapy, specially for TKI resistant patients. Importantly, our results highlight IGF-1R as critical molecule for LQB-118 activity.
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