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The Effect of Body Mass Index Changes between Two Consecutive Pregnancies on the Recurrence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Japan

Shoko Dateki¹, Seishi Furukawa¹*, Syunichi Noda², and Hiroshi Sameshima¹

¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan
²Noda Obstetrics & Gynecology Clinic, Miyazaki, Japan

*Corresponding Author: Seishi Furukawa, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Miyazaki 5200 Kihara-Kiyotake, Miyazaki, 889-1692, Japan, Tel: +81-985-85-0988, Fax: +81-985-85-6149; E-mail: shiba46seishi@gmail.com

Received: 08 March 2020; Accepted: 16 March 2020; Published: 20 March 2020


Abstract

Aim: To identify the effect of interpregnancy pre-pregnancy body mass index changes (ΔBMI) on the recurrence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

Method: A cross sectional study was conducted comprising 183 cases diagnosed with GDM at least once in two consecutive pregnancies. Study cases were divided into three groups based on two consecutive glucose tolerance profiles that comprised normal glucose tolerance (NGT); GDM/GDM (n=45), GDM/NGT (n=33), and NGT/GDM (n=105). We compared ΔBMI among the groups. Study cases were then divided into subgroups on the basis of being below or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy and ΔBMI was compared based on glucose tolerance profiles.

Results: The NGT/GDM group had the highest ΔBMI (1.01±2.06) among the 3 groups. The GDM/GDM group had a higher ΔBMI (0.52±1.59) compared with the GDM/NGT group (-0.41±1.50, p<0.01). The median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancies was 21.2. In the GDM/NGT group, there was no difference in ΔBMI below or above the pre-pregnancy...
BMI of 21.2 (p=0.66). In the GDM/GDM group, there was no difference in ΔBMI below or above the pre-pregnancy BMI of 21.2 (p=0.97). In cases that fell below the pre-pregnancy BMI of 21.2, the GDM/NGT group was associated with a lower ΔBMI (-0.28±1.13) compared with the GDM/GDM group (0.51±1.23, p<0.05). In cases above the pre-pregnancy BMI of 21.2, there was no difference in ΔBMI between GDM/NGT (-0.64±2.04) and GDM/GDM groups (0.52±1.82, p=0.11).

**Conclusion:** Subtle changes in ΔBMI are associated with GDM recurrence, and reduced ΔBMI suppresses GDM recurrence in Japanese lean women.
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**Introduction**

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (type 2 DM) is characterized by high insulin resistance and decreased insulin secretion. The decrease in insulin secretion in type 2 DM is considered to be more advanced than before the onset [1, 2] and decreased insulin secretion has also been confirmed in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) [3]. Over half of women having GDM develop type 2 DM within the first decade after pregnancy with GDM [4]. Efforts to maintain insulin secretion and reduce insulin resistance may prevent or delay the progression of GDM to type 2 DM in women with a history of GDM.

Tips for preventing or delaying the progression of GDM to type 2 DM may relate to risk factors for GDM recurrence. Risk factors for recurrence of GDM are diverse, and are strongly correlated with insulin use during pregnancy, body mass index (BMI), prolific women, history of giving birth to heavy-for-dates infants, and weight gain between pregnancies [5]. In Japan, risk factors for recurrence of GDM include obesity, maternal age, prolific women, postpartum HbA1c, weight changes between pregnancies, and short pregnancy intervals [6]. Of these factors, one that can be realistically considered to prevent the recurrence of GDM is weight change between pregnancies. In fact, suppressing weight gain between two consecutive pregnancies had the effect of reducing GDM recurrence, in obese women in the United States [7]. It has also been reported that an increase in weight gain during the follow-up is associated with the progression of GDM to type 2 DM in women with a history GDM [8]. With respect to Japan, the prevalence of obesity in adult females is 3.2%, far lower than that in other developed countries [9]. Additionally, Japanese possess an ethnic characteristic that tends towards low insulin secretion ability [2, 3, 10]. It is therefore unclear how reducing weight gain between pregnancies might affect GDM recurrence in leaner Japanese women with low insulin secretion ability.

We therefore conducted a cross sectional study of women diagnosed with GDM in two consecutive pregnancies in an effort to evaluate the effect of body mass index changes between the two consecutive pregnancies on the recurrence of GDM. Our results provide practical data to prevent or delay the progression of GDM to type 2 DM in the Japanese population.

**Methods**

This study was undertaken retrospectively and approval (#2019 O-0575) was obtained from the constituted ethics
committee of the University of Miyazaki. Medical charts of women who visited Noda Obstetrics & Gynecology Clinic from April 2012 to March 2017 and who were diagnosed with GDM at least once in two consecutive pregnancies were considered for this study. Noda Obstetrics & Gynecology Clinic is a private clinic located in the city of Miyakonojo, Miyazaki Prefecture and handles only low-risk pregnancies. The number of deliveries during this period in Noda Obstetrics & Gynecology Clinic was 3891.

During the study period, all pregnant women underwent measurement of random blood glucose levels in early-pregnancy (8–14 weeks of gestation) and mid-pregnancy (24–28 weeks of gestation). One hundred mg/dL of random blood glucose or more was regarded as positive for screening and a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was then performed. Pregnant women who were not diagnosed with GDM in early-pregnancy were screened for GDM in mid-pregnancy. Additionally, if women had any risk factors for GDM such as obesity or history of GDM, a 75 g OGTT was performed. GDM is diagnosed if one or more of the following criteria is met in a 75g OGTT during pregnancy: 1: fasting plasma glucose level ≥92 mg/dL (5.1 mmol/L), 2: 1-hour value ≥180 mg/dL (10.0 mmol/L), 3: 2-hour value ≥153 mg/dL (8.5 mmol/L), according to diagnostic criteria of diabetes mellitus in Japan [11]. Pregnant women who did not meet the GDM criteria during pregnancy were regarded as having normal glucose tolerance (NGT).

Cases were divided into three groups based on two consecutive glucose tolerance profiles in two consecutive pregnancies, yielding GDM/GDM, GDM/NGT and NGT/GDM groups. The following maternal demographic data of the GDM/GDM, GDM/NGT and NGT/GDM groups were collected: maternal age at both pregnancies, parity (2 or more births) at index pregnancy, pre-pregnancy BMI at both pregnancies, baby birth weight at index pregnancy (g), and duration of breast feeding until subsequent pregnancy (months). We defined pre-pregnancy body mass index changes between two consecutive pregnancies as ΔBMI, and compared ΔBMI among the groups. Next, in accordance with the characteristics of the Japanese population, where the prevalence of obesity is extremely low compared with other developed countries [9], we evaluated changes in ΔBMI with respect to recurrence of GDM, taking into account BMI distribution before pregnancy in our cases. For that purpose, the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancies was examined. Each group was then divided into subgroups on the basis of being below or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy, and ΔBMI was compared between groups. Furthermore, cases were divided into two groups on the basis of being below or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy, and ΔBMI was compared between the groups in terms of two consecutive glucose tolerance profiles.

Data are expressed as number, incidence (%), mean ± SD, or interquartile range (IQR). Comparisons between groups were made using Welch’s t-test, χ² tests, or Fisher tests. Comparisons among groups were made using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Post-hoc analysis by Steel’s test was performed to determine significant differences. Probability values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results
The designated the study period yielded 183 cases of 149 women diagnosed with GDM at least once in two consecutive pregnancies. Study cases were divided into three groups according to two consecutive glucose tolerance profiles, and comprised GDM/GDM (n=45), GDM/NGT (n=33), and NGT/GDM (n=105).
As seen in Table 1, age at index pregnancy, parity, and duration of breast feeding until subsequent pregnancy did not differ among the three groups. Pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy and baby birth weight at index pregnancy in the GDM/NGT group were significantly lower than those of the GDM/GDM group (p<0.05 and p<0.05, respectively). The median pre-pregnancy BMI of the 183 cases at index pregnancy was 21.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>NGT/GDM</th>
<th>GDM/NGT</th>
<th>GDM/GDM</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>32.2 ± 4.3</td>
<td>32.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (&gt;1)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI</td>
<td>23.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>21.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>24.5 ± 5.5*</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3069.1 ± 600.4**</td>
<td>2768.5 ± 412.2</td>
<td>3002.2 ± 448.6*</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of breastfeeding (months)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 7.6</td>
<td>11.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>10.2 ± 8.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Characteristics of the groups studied at index pregnancy

Results are expressed as number or Mean ± SD.

GDM: gestational diabetes mellitus, NGT: normal glucose tolerance. Comparison among groups was made using χ² tests and the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by comparison using Steel’s test. NS: not significant, *:p<0.05 vs. GDM/NGT, **:p<0.01 vs. GDM/NGT.

As seen in Table 2, age at subsequent pregnancy did not differ among the three groups. However, significant differences in BMI were observed among the three groups (p<0.01). ΔBMI of the GDM/NGT group (-0.4±1.5) was significantly lower than that of the GDM/GDM (0.5±1.6) and NGT/GDM (1.0±2.1) groups (p<0.01 and p<0.01, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>NGT/GDM</th>
<th>GDM/NGT</th>
<th>GDM/GDM</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.8 ± 4.3</td>
<td>34.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>35.2 ± 4.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ BMI</td>
<td>1.0 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>-0.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.5 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Age at subsequent pregnancy and ΔBMI of the study groups

Results are expressed as number or Mean ± SD.

GDM: gestational diabetes mellitus, NGT: normal glucose tolerance. ΔBMI: pre-pregnancy body mass index changes between two consecutive pregnancies. Comparison among groups was made using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by comparison using Steel’s test. NS: not significant, *:p<0.01 vs. GDM/NGT.
Next, considering the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancies (21.2), we examined the difference in ΔBMI of each group. In the GDM/NGT group, there was no difference in ΔBMI below (n=21, -0.28±1.13) or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI (n=12, -0.64±2.04, p=0.58, Figure 1). In the GDM/GDM group, there was no difference in ΔBMI below (n=18, 0.51±1.23) or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI (n=27, 0.52±1.82, p=0.97, Figure 1). Furthermore, in cases below the median pre-pregnancy BMI, the GDM/NGT group had lower ΔBMI (n=21, -0.28±1.13) compared with the GDM/GDM group (n=18, 0.51±1.23, p<0.05, Figure 2). In cases above the median pre-pregnancy BMI, there was no difference in ΔBMI between the GDM/NGT (n=12, -0.64±2.04) and GDM/GDM groups (n=27, 0.52±1.82, p=0.11, Figure 2).

**Figure 1:** Comparison of ΔBMI differentiated by median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy in the GDM/NGT and GDM/GDM groups.

The median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy was 21.2. ΔBMI: pre-pregnancy body mass index changes between two consecutive pregnancies. GDM: gestational diabetes mellitus. NGT: normal glucose tolerance. The interquartile range is represented by a box. The black bar represents the median. The cross represents the mean. The value exceeding 1.5 IQR was represented by closed diamond. Comparisons between groups were made using Welch’s t-test.
Figure 2: Comparison of ΔBMI differentiated by two consecutive glucose tolerance profiles below and above the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy.

The median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy was 21.2. ΔBMI: pre-pregnancy body mass index changes between two consecutive pregnancies. GDM: gestational diabetes mellitus, NGT: normal glucose tolerance. The interquartile range is represented by a box. The black bar represents the median. The cross represents the mean. The value exceeding 1.5 IQR was represented by closed diamond. Comparisons between groups were made using Welch's t-test.

Discussion
Over half the number of women having GDM develop type 2 DM within the first decade after pregnancy [4]. Therefore, efforts to reduce weight gain after childbirth by engaging in a healthy diet, physical activity, and breastfeeding may prevent or delay the progression of GDM to type 2 DM in women with a history of GDM. It has been reported that suppressing weight gain between two consecutive pregnancies in obese women could prevent the recurrence of GDM [7]. However, since Japanese people are characteristically lean and possess low insulin secretion ability [2, 3, 10], it remains to be determined how controlling the body weight of such a population can prevent the recurrence of GDM. We showed in this study that subtle changes in ΔBMI are associated with GDM recurrence in the Japanese population. In GDM/GDM group, ΔBMI increases regardless of being below or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI at index pregnancy. On the other hand, in GDM/NGT group, ΔBMI decreases regardless of being below or above the median pre-pregnancy BMI at
index pregnancy. Additionally, reduced ΔBMI suppresses GDM recurrence in lean Japanese women. However, it seems that the duration of breastfeeding does not affect GDM recurrence in two consecutive pregnancies.

In our study, ΔBMI of the GDM/NGT group (-0.41±1.50) was significantly lower than that of the GDM/GDM group (0.52±1.59). The difference in mean ΔBMI affecting GDM recurrence between groups was about 1 unit and the changes in ΔBMI that affected GDM recurrence were very small in the Japanese population. One study showed that larger changes in BMI between pregnancies affected perinatal prognosis, and in particular women with a BMI gain of 3 or more units had a two-fold higher risk of developing GDM compared with women whose BMI changed from -1.0 to +0.9 units [12]. One meta-analysis also showed that women with a BMI gain of 3 or more units had a two-fold higher risk of developing GDM compared with women who remained in same BMI category or whose BMI changed from −2 to +2 units [13]. Thus, our findings have shown that even subtle changes in BMI within the baseline range for comparison with overseas countries can affect GDM recurrence in Japanese women. Furthermore, the effect of reducing ΔBMI on the recurrence of GDM was significant in leaner Japanese women according to our study. This finding also differed from that observed in an overseas population, where suppressing weight gain between pregnancies was more effective in preventing GDM recurrence in obese women [7]. Thus, the characteristics of GDM recurrence in Japanese women differ from those pertaining to certain overseas populations, and is probably due to the low insulin secretion ability of the Japanese population.

We reported that the proportion of lean GDM women with insulin deficiency and low insulin resistance was relatively high (40%) [3]. Several reports have indicated that impaired insulin secretion is noted during the puerperium [14, 15]. Furthermore, Japanese people can possess higher insulin deficiency at the stage of normal glucose tolerance compared with Caucasians [10]. Therefore, impaired insulin secretion is important for the development of GDM and type 2 DM in Japanese people. Given the predisposition to leanness and impaired insulin secretion in Japanese people, even a slight increase in insulin resistance with a slight weight gain can lead to recurrent GDM. Our findings indicate that the subtle changes in BMI involved in the recurrence of GDM reflect such a scenario. In contrast, when Caucasians become diabetic, the increase in insulin resistance is more pronounced than the impaired insulin secretion ability [2]. Therefore, suppressing weight gain after childbirth may reduce insulin resistance, which in turn may reduce recurrent GDM and the progression of GDM to type 2 DM. One report which showed that suppressing interpregnancy weight gain in obese women reduced GDM recurrence also supports the above scenario [7].

Efforts to reduce weight gain after childbirth by engaging in a healthy diet, physical activity, and breastfeeding may prevent or delay the progression of GDM to type 2 DM in women with a history of GDM. Several reports have shown the effectiveness of breastfeeding in suppressing the onset of type 2 DM [16, 17]. According to one meta-analysis, women who engaged in longer periods of breastfeeding demonstrated more promising characteristics such as significantly lower BMI, higher insulin sensitivity index, and fasting glucose. Additionally, the positive effect of breastfeeding was more prominent in the extended follow-up period [17]. On the other hand, the effects of breastfeeding on recurrent GDM are not always clear [18]. In our study, the duration of breastfeeding did not appear to affect GDM recurrence in two consecutive pregnancies. The short period between pregnancies in our cases may be one reason that our study did not find an association between breastfeeding and the recurrence of GDM. The positive effects of breastfeeding are diverse and
extend beyond weight loss [18]. Further studies are needed to delineate the relationship between breastfeeding and the recurrence of GDM.

There were several limitations in our study. Firstly, the number of cases registered in our study is relatively small and there were multiple cases for the same woman. It is necessary to conduct the study with a larger number of cases and where there is no overlap. Secondly, there was a lack of information regarding factors other than breastfeeding related to interpregnancy weight change. It is necessary to evaluate several factors associated with interpregnancy weight change, such as healthy eating, physical activity and intensity of breastfeeding, which may provide effective means of weight control.

In conclusion, subtle changes in ΔBMI are associated with GDM recurrence in Japanese women. In order to prevent or delay the progression of GDM to type 2 DM, information based on the endocrinological characteristics of the Japanese population is crucial. We found that reduced ΔBMI suppresses GDM recurrence in lean Japanese women. Even if women are lean, efforts to reduce subtle weight gain after childbirth would prevent future type 2 DM progression.
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