

www.ijabpt.com Volume-7, Issue-1, Jan-Mar-2016 *Received: 9th Nov-2015*

Coden IJABFP-CAS-USA Revised: 30th Nov -2015 ISSN: 0976-4550

Copyrights@2016 Accepted: 30th Nov -2015 Research article

IMPACT OF CYPERUS ROTUNDUS CRUSHED SUPPLEMENTATION ON PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF LAYER CHICKEN

*Mahdi Salah Jassim, *Ammar Qahtan Shanoon, *Wesal Fadal Ali, *Mahmoed Nazar Mahmoed, *Hmeda.Hamed Najem

*Department of Animal Rresourses -College of Agriculture -Diyala University – Baquba- IRAQ

ABSTRACT: This study was conducted in poultry farm in animal resources department, college of agriculture, Diyala University to determine the effect of adding different levels of *Cyperusrotundus*crushed in productive performance and some characteristic of characteristic of feggs quality of layer hens chicken by use of 208 hens of lohman brown 50 weeks age. The treatments was 0, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 g/kg diet (T1, T2, T3andT4) respectively. The results shows that there was no significant different ($p \le 0.05$) in hen day production, cumulative eggs, eggs weight, eggs mass, feed conversation compared with control treatments. There were significant different in some eggs quality especially shell thickness, shellweight and Hugh unit. **Key words:** Cyperasrotundus, Hens, Egg production

*Corresponding author: Mahdi Salah Jassim, Department of Animal Rresourses -College of Agriculture -Diyala University – Baquba- IRAQ

Copyright: ©2016 Mahdi Salah Jassim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License , which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The developments in poultry industry especially in high production strains came with weak healthy immunity system and the highly using of drugs which have a constitutive effects in human health therefor there was a new ideas to replace them with herbals that had Impacts in improving both the immune and digestionImpacts in improving both the immune and digestion, digestive and Inhibition of harmful bacteria (shanoon2011). Cyprus is a medicine herbal and used in stress, nutrition, in treatments of some diseases (mousa2008) it contained of cyperone, β -selinene cyperol and caryophyllene (Jirovetz et al,1994, Kilani et al 2004) and have antioxidants effects because it content ascorbic acid, flavonoids and linoleic acid (Trier et al, 1988) and it have a benefit in thyroxin hormone production(Feide2003)which effects in metabolic of body .the Cyprus have enzymes like lipase and amylase action in digestive and hassan2011 found that adding Cyprus to diet of quail have a significant effects in productive performance. Therefore, the present study was designed to determine the effects of Cypruson the productive of layer hens lohman.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The use of 180 hens lohman brown, 50weeks of age and randomly divided to four treatments 45 hens each and three replicates 15each the treatments as fallow T1 as control group (received standard diet without and additive), T2,T3,and T4were 4,8 and12gcyprus /kg diet .The hens were housed on floor bens 150×200cm.The diet used in this experiment as showed in table-1.The data were collected at every two weeks for production characteristic hen day production HD, eggs weight, eggs mass, cumulative eggs production, feed consumption, feed conversation and data for eggs quality characteristic shell thickness and weight, shell relative weight, albumen and yolk height, Hugh unit, yolk and albumen weight, yolk index and colorcollected at every four weeks. The data collected were design as CRD (complete random design) and analysis by use SPSS and the Duncan's Multiple Range (Duncan 1951) Test was used to test the different between treatments means.

Ingredient and analysis	(%)	Ingredient and	(%)
		analysis	
Corn	66.40	Salt (NaCl)	0.41
Soybean meal (48% CP)	19.20	Coccidiostat	0.05
Wheat	6.00	D,L-Methionine	0.07
Dicalcium phosphate	1.20	Selenium premix3	0.10
Limestone	6.10	Mold inhibitor	0.05
Mineral premix1	0.05	Lysine HCl	0.05
Vitamin premix2	0.10	Choline chloride	0.12
Total		100.00	
	Calculated ar	alysis4	
Crude protein, %	16.03	Methionine +	0.63
_		cysteine, %	
AME, kcal/kg	2,918	Calcium, %	2.70
Lysine, %	0.82	Available	0.42
		phosphorus,%	

 Table-1: Diet composition and Calculated analysis

1-Mineral premix contained the following in milligrams per kilogram

Of diet: manganese, 120; zinc, 120; iron, 180; copper, 10; iodine, 2.5; Cobalt, 1.0.

2-Vitamin premix contained the following per kilogram of diet: vitamin

A, 13,200 IU; cholecalciferol, 4,000 IU; vitamin E, 66 IU; vitamin B12,

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 showed that there was no signifying effects to cypurs treatments on HD production in all experiment period and in general mean and table 3 results refer no different were found in feed consumption for all Cyprus treatments compared with control group and even in general mean. Table 4 showed eggs weight and there was no different between all treatments for this subject , all this results are reflex on results of tables 5, 6, 7, for cumulative eggs production, egg mass, feed conversation and we found that there were no signifying effects to cypurs treatments compared to control for all treatments period and in general mean. The effects of Cyprus on eggs quality showed in table 8 which refer to there was no signifying effects to cypurs treatments on egg shell in thickness, weight and shell weight in first period but in second period the effects was a significant ($p \le 0.01$) in this characteristics, T3 give significant($p \le 0.01$) in this characteristics , T3 give significant($p \le 0.01$) in this characteristics to control, T4 sign a significant effect in shell weight(7.76g) compared with T3,T2 and T1 (7.20, 7.17, 6.48g), the same think in shell weight percentage,T4 different significant from control group it recorded 11.26% compared to 9.55% for control.

Period		Significant			
1 er iou	T1	T2	Т3	T4	level
1	84.6 ± 5.1	86.5 ± 3.7	83.7 ± 5.1	85.6 ± 4.2	N.S.
2	82.7 ± 6.1	84.2 ± 5.3	83.1 ± 3.6	85.4 ± 5.8	N.S.
3	81.5 ± 3.8	83.3 ± 4.4	82.4 ± 5.7	84.6 ± 6.2	N.S.
4	80.7 ± 4.3	82.5 ± 6.6	82.2 ± 3.7	83.8 ± 3.6	N.S.
Average	82.4 ± 3.1	84.1 ± 3.0	82.9 ± 2.5	84.9 ± 4.3	N.S.

 Table 2. Effect of Cyperus powder supplementation on HD egg production of laying hens

A, 13,200 IU; cholecalciferol, 4,000 IU; vitamin E, 66 IU; vitamin B12,

3-4.6 ug; riboflavin, 13.2 mg; niacin, 110 mg; pantothenic acid, 22 mg;

vitamin K, 4 mg; folic acid, 2.2 mg; thiamine, 4 mg; pyridoxine, 8 mg;

And biotin, 252 ug. 3Selenium premix contained sodium selenite (Na2SeO3), providing0.3 mg/kg.

4- Data expressed on a percentage of dry matter basis. Formulations Confirmed by proximate analyses

The general means of treatments showed the same effects to cypurs treatments and that maybe caused by effective composition to Cypurs like flavonoids and Isoflavone which have steriod hormones like effect (Harborn et al 1975) and glycosides (Raut et al 2008, Xu et al 2006) which helpful for sex hormones production especially Estrogen which work to modify storage calcium in bones to blood to carry it to uterus to made shell of egg (Al- faead & Najee, 1989). From table 9 we see there was no significant different in yolk weight, index and color in all experiment period. In table10 wesee there was no significant different in albumen weight and high when Hugh unit showed significant different for all Cypurs treatments compared with control group in all experiment period and in general mean and we see T4 have the highest value in perioed1and2 and(91.1, 89.6) fallow by T2 and T3 and T1 give a lowest value (86.7,85.7) , in general mean came T4,T3and T2 have significant different compare with T1(control) it recorded (90.4,88.9,89.6and 85.7) and may be that caused by raising of myosin protein which give a gelatin shape for albumen (Al- faead& Najee, 1989).

Period		Significant			
I criou	T1	T2	Т3	T4	level
1	117 ± 1.4	115 ± 1.7	118 ± 0.6	117 ± 2.8	N.S.
2	119 ± 0.4	118 ± 0.8	116 ± 4.6	116 ± 3.1	N.S.
3	118 ± 1.8	120 ± 0.0	117 ± 1.2	116 ± 2.4	N.S.
4	120 ± 0.0	117 ± 2.2	118 ± 0.6	117 ± 2.7	N.S.
Average	119 ± 0.3	118 ± 0.8	117 ± 2.3	117 ± 2.4	N.S.

Table 3. Effect of Cyperus powder supplementation on feed consumption of laying hens (g/hen/day)

Table 4	Effect of	Cynerus	nowder si	unnlement	ation on eg	g weight (of laving	hens (σ	/eaa)
	Effect of	Cyperus	powaer s	upplement	anon on eg	g weight (л таушд	nens (g	(Cgg)

Period		Significant			
I chiou	T1	T2	Т3	T4	level
1	66.2 ± 1.7	67.4 ± 0.8	65.0 ± 2.6	67.5 ± 1.4	N.S.
2	66.0 ± 1.2	66.8 ± 2.1	67.2 ± 0.7	65.9 ± 1.8	N.S.
3	66.5 ± 2.2	67.7 ± 0.6	68.2 ± 1.5	67.8 ± 1.5	N.S.
4	67.1 ± 0.9	67.5 ± 2.4	68.3 ± 1.6	68.0 ± 2.0	N.S.
Average	66.5 ± 1.6	67.4 ± 1.3	67.2 ± 1.8	67.6 ± 1.4	N.S.

Table 5. Effect of Cyperus powder supplementation on completive egg production of laying hen (egg/hen/14days)

Period	r	Significant			
I chou	T1	T2	Т3	T4	level
1	11.8 ± 0.4	12.1 ± 0.8	11.7 ± 0.7	12.0 ± 1.2	N.S.
2	11.6 ± 0.7	11.8 ± 1.1	11.6 ± 0.4	12.0 ± 0.5	N.S.
3	11.4 ± 0.6	11.7 ± 1.3	11.5 ± 1.2	11.8 ± 0.7	N.S.
4	11.3 ± 1.0	11.6 ± 1.3	11.5 ± 1.1	11.7 ± 0.8	N.S.
Average	11.5 ± 1.1	11.8 ± 0.7	11.6 ± 0.5	11.9 ± 0.9	N.S.

*T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

*The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

Domind		Significant laval			
renoa	T1	T2	T3	T4	Significant level
1	56.0 ± 0.7	58.31 ± 1.7	54.4 ± 2.1	57.8 ± 1.2	N.S.
2	54.6 ± 1.8	56.2 ± 1.5	55.8 ± 1.4	56.5 ± 2.6	N.S.
3	54.2 ± 2.7	56.5 ± 1.1	56.1 ± 1.3	57.4 ± 0.9	N.S.
4	54.1 ± 1.1	55.6 ± 2.5	55.2 ± 1.8	56.6 ± 1.5	N.S.
Average	54.7 ± 1.7	56.6 ± 0.8	55.4 ± 0.7	57.1 ± 2.6	N.S.

Table 6. Effect of Cyperus powder supplementation on daily egg mass (g eggs/hen/day)

 Table 7. Effect of Cyperus powder supplementation on feed conversion efficiency (g feed/ g egg)

Doniod		Significant laval			
renou	T1	T2	T3	T4	Significant level
1	2.09 ± 0.17	1.97 ± 0.22	2.17 ± 0.32	2.02 ± 0.21	N.S.
2	2.18 ± 0.13	2.10 ± 0.15	2.08 ± 0.11	2.05 ± 0.16	N.S.
3	2.17 ± 0.25	2.13 ± 0.11	2.09 ± 0.23	2.02 ± 0.31	N.S.
4	2.22 ± 0.16	2.10 ± 0.28	2.14 ± 0.16	2.07 ± 0.14	N.S.
Average	2.17 ± 0.19	2.08 ± 0.21	2.12 ± 0.17	2.04 ± 0.24	N.S.

*T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

Table	8. Effect of C	perus powder :	supplementation on	Characteristic	of egg shell Q	uality o	of laying h	<u>ens</u>

Chanastanistis		Significant laval							
Characteristic	T1	T2	T3	T4	Significant level				
The first period (age 50 – 53 week)									
Shell thickness (mm)	0.36 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.01	0.38 ± 0.01	0.38 ± 0.01	N.S.				
Shell weight (g)	6.24 ± 0.37	6.69 ± 0.22	6.58 ± 0.50	6.74 ± 0.18	N.S.				
Shell relative weight (%)	9.45 ± 0.12	10.01 ± 0.58	9.79± 0.23	10.18 ± 0.27	N.S.				
	Т	he second period	(age 54 – 57 week)						
Shell thickness (mm)	0.35 ±0.03 ^{b**}	0.36 ± 0.02 b	0.39 ± 0.01^{a}	0.38 ± 0.02 ^a	0.01				
Shell weight (g)	6.48 ± 0.19 ^b	7.17 ± 0.23^{ab}	7.20 ± 0.17^{ab}	7.76 ± 0.08^{a}	0.05				
Shell relative weight (%)	9.65 ± 0.31 ^b	10.62 ±0.19 ^{ab}	10.54 ± 0.21 ^{ab}	11.26 ± 0.2^{a}	0.05				
		General	average	•					
Shell thickness (mm	0.36 ± 0.01 ^b	0.37 ± 0.00^{ab}	0.39 ± 0.01 ^a	0.38 ± 0.02^{ab}	0.05				
Shell weight (g)	6.36 ± 0.12^{b}	6.39 ± 0.09^{ab}	6.89 ± 0.14^{ab}	7.25 ± 0.17^{a}	0.05				
Shell relative weight (%)	9.55 ± 0.11 ^b	10.32 ±0.18 ^{ab}	10.17 ± 0.30^{ab}	10.72 ±0.13 ^a	0.05				

* T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

* T1 control without and additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

* T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

* T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

		Significant							
Characteristic	T1	T2	T3	T4	level				
The first period (age 50 – 53 week)									
Albumin weight (g)	39.6 ± 0.7	39.7 ± 1.2	40.8 ± 2.2	40.2 ± 0.8	N.S.				
Albumin high (mm)	7.8 ± 0.19	8.57 ± 0.24	8.50 ± 0.41	8.60 ± 0.21	N.S.				
Haugh unit	$86.7 \pm 1.2^{b^{**}}$	90.8 ± 2.3^{a}	90.3 ± 1.6^{a}	91.1 ± 1.4 ^a	0.01				
	The	second period	d (age 54 – 57 we	ek)					
Albumin weight (g)	8.39 ± 1.5	39.6 ± 2.3	41.5 ± 2.6	41.5 ± 2.1	N.S.				
Albumin high (mm)	7.52 ± 0.39	8.16 ± 0.33	7.99 ± 0.25	8.43 ± 0.27	N.S.				
Haugh unit	84.7 ± 1.6 ^b	88.4 ± 2.8^{a}	87.2 ± 2.3^{a}	89.6 ± 1.3^{a}	0.01				
		General	average						
Albumin weight (g)	39.7 ± 1.4	39.7 ± 2.1	41.2 ± 1.8	40.9 ± 1.6	N.S.				
Albumin high (mm)	7.66 ± 0.34	8.37 ± 2.80	8.23 ± 0.35	8.52 ± 0.26	N.S.				
Haugh unit	85.7 ± 0.8 ^b	89.6 ± 1.6^{a}	88.9 ± 1.9^{a}	$9\overline{0.4 \pm 1.0}^{a}$	0.01				

Table 10. Effect of Cyperus powder supplementation on Characteristic of egg albumin quality of laying hens

* T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a.b.c refer to significant different

* T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

* T1 control without any additive

*T2, T3and T4 feed with cyperus 0.4, 0.8and 1.2 gm/kg diet

* The different letter a,b,c refer to significant different

REFERENCES

- AL-jaboree, bagerabd- khalaf. Hasnaoee, Khaneemsaadallah andAL-jalabee ,faeqtaofeeq.(1985). Herbels. Ministry of higher education and scientific research, Baghdad.fst1.
- AL-faeadh, hamdeabd-al azez&saadabd-alhuseenniji. (1989). Poultry technology.
- AL- Naeme ,saad Mohamed ali. (2009). Effect of water eques of cypurs in some physiology and biochemistry characteristic in broiler chicks. Iraqi j.v. sci. 23:283-298.
- Mousa, mohe Mohamed . (2008). Herbals and medicine plants -Card, L. E. and M. C. Nesheim. 1972. Poultry production, IIth ed. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger.

Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F-test.Biometrics., 11:1-42.

Kilani, S., J. Ledauphin, I. Bouhlel, M. Ben Sghaier, J. Boubaker, I. Skandrani, R.Mosrati, K. Ghedira, D. Barillier, L. Chekir-Ghedira. (2008). Comparative study of Cyperusrotundus essential oil by a modified GC/MSanalysis method. Evaluation of its antioxidant, cytotoxic, and apoptotic effects. Chem. Biodivers. 2008. 5: 729-742.

Feide, D. J. Kasteljin, and J. S. Elst. (2003). Flavonoids and Thyroid disease Biofactors., 19: 113- 119.

- Liu, MJ. Z. Wang; RN Wong and QY. Wu. (2005). Diosgenin induced cell cycle arrest and a poptosis in human leukemia K562 cells with the disruption of Ca^{2t} homeostasis. Cancer Chem other Pharm. 55 (1): 79-90.
- Harborn , J.B., T.J. Mabry and H. Mabry. (1975). The flavonoids, Chapman and Hall, London.
- Jirovetz, L., A. Wobus, G. Buchbauer, M.P. Shafi, P.T. Thampi. (2004). Comparative analysis of the essential oil and SPME-headspace aroma compounds of Cyperusrotundus L. roots/tubers from South-India using GC, GC-MS and olfactometry. J. Essent. Oil-Bearing Plants. 7: 100-106.
- Komai, K. M. Shimizu, C.T.Tang, H. Tsutsui. (1994). Sesquiterpenoids of Cyperus bulbous, Cyperus tuberous and Cyperus rotundas. Mem. Fac. Agr. Kinki Univ. 27: 39-45.
- SAS Institute . (2001). SAS User's Guide : Statistics Version 6.12ed . SAS Inst. Inc, Cary, NC., USA.
- Suriya, R., I. Zulkifli and A. R. Alimon. (2012). The effect of dietary inclusion of herbs as growth promoter in broiler chickens. J. Anim. Vet. Advan. 11: 346-350.
- Tipu. L. A., T. N. Pasha and Z. AlL. (2006). Comparative efficacy of salinomycin sodium and Neeni fruit (*Aadii'achtindica*) as feed additive anticoccidials in broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sd. 1(4): 91-93.
- Trler, V. E. L. R. Brady, and J. E. Robber. (1988). Volital oils harmaacognosy. 9Pth Ped. Lea and Fibiger Philadelphian. P. 30.
- Nima, Z. A., M. S.Jabier, R. I. Wagi and H. A. Hussain. (2008). Extraction, Identification and Antibacterial activity of cyperus oil from Iraqi C. rotundus. Eng and Technol. 26 (10):1156.
- N.R.C. (National Research Council). (2001). Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th ed., National Academic Press, Washington, DC., USA. pp. 120.
- Raut, N. A. and N. J. Gaikwad. (2006). Antidiabetic Activity of hydro-ethanolic extract of cyperusrotundus in alloxan induced diabetes in rats. Fitoterapia. 77: 585-588.
- Xu, Y., H. Zhang, H. C.Yu, Y.Lu, Y.Chang, Z. Zou. (2008). Norcyperone, a Novel Skeleton Norsesquiterpene from Cyperusrotundus L. Molecules. 13, 2474-2481.



ISSN : 0976-4550 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED BIOLOGY AND PHARMACEUTICAL TECHNOLOGY

