

www.ijabpt.com Volume-7, Issue-4, Oct-Dec-2016 Coden IJABFP-CAS-USA Received: 12th Aug 2016 Revised: 27th Sept 2016 DOI: 10.21276/Ijabpt, http://dx.doi.org/10.21276/ijabpt ISSN: 0976-4550

Copyrights@2016 Accepted: 29th Sept 2016 Research article

ESTIMATION OF POSTHARVEST LOSSES OF SOLANACEOUS VEGETABLES AT DIFFERENT LEVELS IN JABALPUR MADHYA PRADESH INDIA.

Sajad, A.M.* Jamaluddin** and Abid, H.Q.*** and Jitendra Nagpure

** Emeritus scientist at UGC.

*& *** Research Scholars at Department of Biological Sciences R.D. University, Jabalpur, Madhya Pardesh, India-482001

ABSTRACT: Post-harvest losses have been analysed at different levels in Solanaceous vegetables viz, Tomato, Brinjal, Potato and Chilli in different markets of Jabalpur Madhya pradesh India. Post-harvest losses have been estimated using the survey data collected from farmers, wholesalers, and retailers in each solanaceous vegetables in Jabalpur. Major economic losses in solanaceous vegetables were in tomato followed by brinjal, potato and chilli. The result of this study indicated that overall losses at different stages was around 165.23 kg (28.32%) in tomatoes, 112.24 kg (25.32%) in brinjal, 188.5kg (21.34%) in potato and 110.7kg (19.18%) in chilli. **Key words**: Farmers, Market functionaries, Estimation postharvest losses, Solanaceous vegetables.

*Corresponding author: Sajad, A.M. Department of Biological Sciences R.D. University, Jabalpur, Madhya Pardesh, India-482001, mirsajadahmad7@gmail.com Copyright: ©2016 Sajad, A.M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License , which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is the main sector in Indian economy. Contribution of Agriculture towards national income is about 15-20% (Gol, 2007-2012). Therefore it is to be rightly said, agriculture is the backbone of indian economy. India ranks 2nd in vegetable production and accounts 15% of world's production of vegetables. In India vegetable production increases from 58.33 million tonnes in 1991-92 to 98.50 million tonnes in 2001 and is currently second only to china. With production of 222 lakh tonnes, Potato is leading vegetable followed by brinjal and tomato with the production of 77 and 73 lakh tonnes respectively. India produces seventy different varieties of leafy, fruity and starch tuber varieties of vegetables (singhal, 2003). Total area under vegetable cultivation is around 6.2 milloin hectares which is about 3% of the total area under cultivation in country. Potato is widely grown vegetable with high domestic consumption 23889336MT and an export of 40341MT for the year 2002 and onwords. Uttar pardesh is leading followed by West Bengal and Bihar. Tomato occupies 2nd position among vegetables in terms of production. Andhra Pradesh is the largest grower of tomato. Brinjal occupies 3rd position amongst vegetable crop. West Bengal is the largest producer of brinjal. A large proportion of these solanaceous vegetables is lost at different stages by post-harvest activities. (Verma and Singh 2004) estimated about 25 % of losses of total production. Losses occurs due to poor knowledge, poor transportation facilities, poor management and improper market facilities, lack of management by farmers, dealers and retailers (Gauraha and Thakur, 2008, Singh et al, 2008). Estimation of losses in developing countries put losses of potatoes, tomatoes, brinjal and some citrus fruits as high as 50% or half of what is grown. Loss of agricultural commodities would indicate not only monetary losses but also losses of economy and decline in the nutritional value that is already in decline in developing countries (FAO, 1980).

(Gauraha, 1997) reported that post harvest losses in tomatoes up to 32.64%. High perishability, seasonal variation and bulkiness make the marketing of fresh vegetables very complex (Anil and Arora 1999, Gupta and Rathore, 1999, More 1999, Begun and Raha 2002, Murthy *et al.*, 2002, Singh and Chauhan, 2004, Bala, 2006, Murthy *et al.*, 2002, Rupali and Gyan 2010, Barkade *et al.*, 2011.) Estimation in post-harvest losses in solanaceous vegetables at various levels help in accessing the extent and magnitude of losses and in identifying the factors contribute for these losses. This results in proper management techniques to reduce losses occurring at various stages. Reduction in post-harvest losses increase the availability of vegetables. The farmers, wholesalers, and retailers would be guided by such studies in formulating suitable policies that result decline in post harvest losses. Specific objective of this study is that to measure the extent of post harvest losses at various levels.

METHODOLOGY

Present study conducted in Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh aims at estimation of post-harvest losses in solanaceous vegetables. Madhya Pradesh with varied agro climatic conditions making it possible to grow large number of fruits and vegetables. Four major solanaceous vegetables viz, tomato, potato, brinjal, and chilli have been selected for this study.

Tabular representation was used to present the data. Stastical average, ratios, percentage were used to estimate post harvest losses at different levels.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Post-harvest losses of solanaceous vegetables at different levels were shown in table 1-4. Overall losses in tomatoes at different levels was around 165.23 kg (28.32%) in table 1.

| Different levels | Quantity in kg. | Percentage of losses. |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Farm level | 60.2 | 6.11 |
| Wholesaler level | 103.9 | 19.22 |
| Retail level | 1.13 | 2.99 |
| Total | 165.23 | 28.32 |

Table-1: Total post-harvest losses in tomato at different levels in Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh India.

| Different levels | Quantity | Percentage of losses |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Farm level | 62.10 | 9.13 |
| Wholesaler level | 48.9 | 8.15 |
| Retail level. | 1.24 | 8.04 |
| Total | 112.24 | 25.32 |

Table-3: Total post-harvest losses in potato at different levels in Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh India.

| Different levels | Quantity in kg. | Percentage of losses |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Farm level | 102.25 | 8.18 |
| Wholesaler level | 80.24 | 10.5 |
| Retail level | 6.06 | 2.66 |
| Total | 188.55 | 21.34 |

Table-4: Total post harvest losses in chilli at different levels in Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh India.

| Different levels | Quantity in kg | Percentage of losses |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Farm level | 70.24 | 12.9 |
| Wholesaler level | 35.11 | 5.2 |
| Retail level | 5.35 | 1.08 |
| Total | 110.7 | 91.8 |

Table-5: Opinion of sample farmers, wholesalers and retailers, regarding problems with respect to post harvest losses of solanaceous vegetables in Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh India.

| Particulars | No. Of respondents (n=180) | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Adverse weather conditions | 155 | 86.11 |
| Inadequate storage facilities. | 165 | 91.66 |
| Inadequate transport facilities | 170 | 94.44 |
| Lack of knowledge | 55 | 30.55 |

A considerable post-harvest losses occurred at wholesaler and retail level due to inadequate storage, transportation facilities and improper handling (Roy and Poll 1991). Maximum losses occurred at wholesaler level in tomatoes. Deterioration occurred due to high moisture content, tender and texture. Quantity losses occurred due to inadequate facilities like storage, drying, packaging and transportation.

Overall losses in brinjal at different levels were around 112.24 kg (25.32%). Maximum losses were found to occur at farm level. Considerable post harvest losses occurred due to inadequate handling, storage, and transportation (Gauraha, 1997).

Total losses in potatoes at different levels were around 188.5kg (21.34%). Maximum losses occurred at wholesaler level. Factors responsible for post harvest losses were improper management of harvesting, storage, transportation, and also tender, texture and moisture content of vegetables. Losses in chilli at different levels were about 110.7kg (19.8%). Maximum percentage of losses occurred at farm level, followed by wholesaler and retail level.

Results from table 5 indicated that 94.44% farmers experienced that losses occurred due to inadequate transportation facilities after the vegetables are harvested. About 91.66% farmers experienced that losses occurred due to inadequate storage facilities. 81.66% farmers told that losses occurred due to adverse weather conditions i.e. Shortage of rainfall, heavy rainfall at the time of harvesting, high humidity and moisture content. About 30.55% farmers consult that needed more information, scientific knowledge and facilities with respect to control post-harvest losses of solanaceous vegetables at farm level.

Thus losses at different levels can be controlled by development of scientific methods, proper handling, proper storage, transportation and management. An Indian economy is based on agriculture there is need to develop proper management practices in order to increase vegetables, not to deteriorate them due to post-harvest losses. This study also suggests that possible solutions to reduce these problems are establishment of producer cooperatives to handle various activities related to production and marketing of solanaceous vegetables.

CONCLUSION

Post-harvest losses occur due to improper methods of harvesting, Transportation, cleaning, drying, storage, transportation, processing and packaging. Present study attempt to estimate post-harvest losses in solanaceous vegetables at different levels in Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh India. Study also attempted to identify and enumerate losses occurring at different levels. Reliable database on vegetable loss help to make proper planning for monitoring and controlling of post harvest losses.

REFRENCES

- Anil, K. and Arora, (1999). "Post-harvest management of vegetables in Uttar Pradesh hills", Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 6-14.
- Bala, B. (2006). "Marketing system of apple in hills problems and prospects (A case of Kullu district, Himachal Pradesh", Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing, Vol. 8, No. 5, pp. 285-293.
- Barakade, A. J., Lokhande, T. N. and Todkari, G. U. (2011). "Economics of onion cultivation and its marketing pattern in Satara district of Maharastra", International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 110-117.
- Begum, A. and Raha, S. K. (2002). "Marketing of Banana in selected areas of Bangladesh", Economic Affairs Kolkata, Vol. 47, No. 3, pp.158 166.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (1980). Assessment and Collection of Data on Post Harvest Food grain Losses, FAO Economic and Social Development Paper 13, Rome, Italy.
- Gauraha, A.K. (1997). "Economic Assessment of Post-Harvest Losses in Vegetable Crops", Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing, Vol.11, pp.38-39.
- Gauraha, A.K. (1997). Economic assessment of post-harvest losses in vegetable crops. Indian J. Agric. Mktg., 11 : 38-39.

Sajad et al

- Gauraha, A.K. and Thakur, B.S. (2008). Comparative economic analysis of post-harvest losses in vegetables and foodgrains crops in Chhattisgarh. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 63(3): 376.
- GOI (2007). Report of the working group on horticulture, plantation crops and organic farming for the XI Five Year Plan (2007-12), Planning Commission, Government of India.
- Gupta, S.P. and Rathore, N.S. (1999), "Disposal pattern and constraints in vegetable market: A case study of Raipur District of Madhya Pradesh", Agricultural Marketing, Vol. 42, No. 1:52 59.
- More, S. S. (1999), "Economics of production and marketing of banana in Maharashtra. State", M. Sc. (Agri) thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad, India.
- Murthy, D.S., Gajanana, T.M., Sudha M. and Dakshinamoorthy (2007), "Marketing Lossesand their impact on marketing margins: A case study of banana in Karnataka", Agricultural Economics Research Review, Vol. 20, pp. 47 60.
- Murthy, D.S., Gajanana, T.M., Sudha M. and Dakshina moorthy (2007). "Marketing Losses and their impact on marketing margins: A case study of banana in Karnataka", Agricultural Economics Research Review, Vol. 20, pp. 47 60.
- Roy, S.K. and Pal, R.K. (1991). Multilocational studies to reducepost-harvest losses during harvesting, handling, packaging, transpiration and marketing of mango in India. Acta Horticulturae, 291 : 499-503.
- Rupali,P. and Gyan, P. (2010), "Marketable Surplus and marketing efficiency of Vegetables in Indore District: A Micro Level Study", IUP Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. 7, No.3, pp. 84-93.
- Singh, R.B., Kushwaha, R.K. and Verma, Sunil Kumar (2008). An economic appraisal of post-harvest losses in vegetable in Uttar Pradesh.
- Singh, S. and Chauhan S. K. (2004), "Marketing of Vegetables in Himachal Pradesh", Agricultural Marketing, pp. 5 10.
- Singhal, V. (2003). Indian Agriculture, 2003 Indian Economic Data Research Centre, New Delhi (INDIA).
- Verma, Ajay and Singh, K.P. (2004). An economic analysis ofpost-harvest losses in fresh vegetables. Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing, 18(1): 136-139.



ISSN : 0976-4550 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED BIOLOGY AND PHARMACEUTICAL TECHNOLOGY



Email : ijabpt@gmail.com

Website: www.ijabpt.com