



## POTENTIOMETRIC-KINETIC METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF MERCURY (II) BY USING ORTHO ANISIDINE

D.Malleswara Rao<sup>1</sup>, Andrews BSA<sup>2</sup>, and A.V.D. Nagendra Kumar<sup>3</sup>

- 1) Department of Chemistry, P.B.N. College, Nidubrolu Guntur (Dt) – A.P
- 2) Department of Chemistry, GITAM Institute of Technology, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam 530045, AP, India

**ABSTRACT** A new simple and sensitive extractive potentiometric method for the determination of mercury (II) with isonicotinic acid hydrazide (INH) has been developed. INH has been synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis. Mercury was complexed with INH to form reddish yellow color Hg-INH complex. Hg-INH complex extracts quantitatively (99.45%) into methanol (MeOH) from an aqueous solution of P<sup>H</sup>. The complex has maximum absorbance at 420nm ( $\lambda_{max}$ ). The molar absorptivity and sandell's sensitivity of the complex was  $2.609 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lit. mole}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $1.673 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{g/cm}^2$  respectively. The developed method obeys Beer's law in the concentration range of 1.0 to 20 ppm. The composition of the complex (metal: was applied for the determination of mercury in sewage waste and spiked water samples.

**Key words:** Potentiometric, Mercury, INH, O-Anisidine

### INTRODUCTION

Mercury is a chemical element with the symbol Hg and atomic number 80. It is also known as quicksilver or hydrargyrum (from "hydr-" water and "argyros" silver). A heavy, silvery d-block element, mercury is the only metal that is liquid at standard conditions for temperature and pressure; the only other element that is liquid under these conditions is bromine, and metals such as caesium, francium, gallium, and rubidium melt just above room temperature. With a freezing point of  $-38.83 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and boiling point of  $356.73 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , mercury has one of the narrowest ranges of its liquid state of any metal [1-3].

Mercury(II) salts form a variety of complex derivatives with ammonia. These include Millon's base ( $\text{Hg}_2\text{N}^+$ ), the one-dimensional polymer (salts of  $\text{HgNH}_2^+$ )<sub>n</sub>, and "fusible white precipitate" or  $[\text{Hg}(\text{NH}_3)_2]\text{Cl}_2$ . Known as [[Nessler's reagent, potassium tetraiodomercurate(II) ( $\text{HgI}_4^-$ ) is still occasionally used to test for ammonia owing to its tendency to form the deeply colored iodide salt of Millon's base.

Mercury in water is toxic to living beings. The toxicity of mercury is increases in natural waters by the industrial water. Even at low ion concentration, mercury and its compounds cause potential hazards due to enrichment in food chain. Damage by mercury pollution is chiefly in the cerebellum and sensory pathways with lesions in the cerebral context of man. In view of its toxicity methods capable of determine mercury in water are needed. Spectrophotometry is one of the techniques for the determination of metals in water and there are methods reported for the potentiometric determination of mercury in water [4-15].

In the present investigation a sensitive potentiometric method for the determination of mercury with INH (HIPAPH) as a complexing agent is described.

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Shimadzu, UV- were used in the present investigation. INH was prepared by dissolving the required amount of the substance Fulka sample in triply distilled water and solution is standardized as per the method of vultrile[16]. All the reagents used are of analytical grade and all the solution is prepared in double distilled water. 1.353g of mercury (II) chloride was dissolved in deionized double distilled water and the solution was made up to 1000mL. Buffer of different pH values were prepared by standard procedure. Hydrochloric acid – potassium chloride buffer (pH 2.0 to 4.0), acetic acid – Sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0 to 7.0) and ammonium chloride and ammonium hydroxide buffer (pH 8.0 to 12.0) were prepared. 0.2% solution of INH prepared in distilled water.

## GENERAL PROCEDURE:

An aliquot of the solution containing 5ml of Mercury (II) was taken. To these required amounts of Hydrochloric Acid is added to give desired concentration and 2.0mL of 0.1% INH are added and the total volume was diluted to 50mL by deionized double distilled water and the mixture was transferred into a 50ml separatory funnel. The yellowish red colour complex Hg(II)-INH formed was extracted into 10mL of Methanol, after shaking vigorously for 30Seconds, the absorbance of Hg(II)-INH complex was measured at 420nm against the reagent blank.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Methanol is chosen as solvent, since it was found that the metal complex effectively extracted. The Hg (II) complex was readily extractable into MeOH and no change was observed the extent of extraction when the mixture was shaken from 1.5 to 5.0 minutes. Hence 4.0 minutes of shaking time was enough for the complete extraction of complex in to MeOH. The optimum pH for the extraction of metal ion into the organic phase increase as the pH increase from 4.0 to 7.0 and again decrease from 7.0 to 10.0. It was observed that a ten fold excess of reagent was sufficient to produce maximum intensity of colour. This is equal to 1.0mL of 0.1% INH solution.

With the optimum conditions developed the calibration curve was constructed. It was observed that Beer's law was obeyed for the constructed range of 1.0 to 20.0 ppm of Hg (II) shown in figure-1. The exact concentration range was determined by constructing a Ringbom plot. Based on the slope of the Ringbom plot (1.7392) shown this ration between the relative error in concentration and photometric error is 1.5601. For a photometric error of one percent  $AP=0.01$ . Hence, the relative error in concentration is 0.016501. Mercury (II) can be determined accurately by these methods in the range of 3.0 to 17.0 ppm. Sandell's sensitivity of the reaction obtained from Beer's law is  $2.609 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lit. mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$  and the molar absorptivity of the complex is calculated as  $1.67 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{g/cm}^2$ . Aliquots containing 9.0 mg/mL of Hg (II) gave a standard deviation of  $0.9602 \times 10^{-3}$  and co-efficient of variation is 0.2062 percent.

## Composition of the absorbance:

Jobs method [17] of continuous variation and the molar ratio[18] method were applied to ascertain the stoichiometric composition of the comple. A Hg- dithizone (1:2) complex was indicated by both methods.

## Effect of Foreign ion:

The effect of over 60 cations, anions and complexing agents on the determination of only  $1 \text{ mgL}^{-1}$  of  $\text{Hg}^{\text{II}}$  was studied. The criterion for interence was an absorbance value varying by more than 5% from the expected value for  $\text{Hg}^{\text{II}}$  alone. There was no interference from the following 1000 fold amount of EDTA or tartrate: a 500 fold amount of acetate, chloride, oxalate or ammonium (I). EDTA prevented the interference of 50 fold amounts of cerium (III) and (IV) or chromium (VI). During interference studies, if a precipitate was formed, it was removed by centrifugation. The amount mentioned is not the tolerance limit. But the actual amount studied. However, for those ions whose tolerance limit has been studied, their tolerance rations are mentioned in Table 1.

**Table – 1 Tolerance limits of foreign ions, Tolerance ratio [Species(X)]/Hg<sup>II</sup>(w/w)**

Species x	Tolerance ratio x/Hg <sup>II</sup>	Species x	Tolerance ratio x/Hg <sup>II</sup>
Magnesium	100	Nickel(II)	100
Potassium	100	Strontium(II)	100
Thorium	100	Vanadium(V)	100
Barium	100	Bismuth	100
Cadmium	100	Ascorbicacid	200
Azide	200	Acetate	500
Bromide	200	Carbonate	200
Iodide	200	Nitrate	200
Lead(II)	50	Silver(I)	50
EDTA	1000	Tatrate	1000
Antimony	100	Aluminium	100
Sulphite	200	Sulphate	200
Palladium(II)	75	Mercury(I)	50
Chloride	500	ammonium	500
Uranium(IV)	500	Sn(II)	450

Tolerance ratio is defined as ratio that causes less than 5 % interference

#### APPLICATION OF THE DEVELOPED METHOD:

The developed extractive-potentiometric method was applied for the determination of mercury (II) in sewage waste and spiked water samples.

#### DETERMINATION OF MERCURY (II) IN SEWAGE WATER:

The proposed method was applied for the determination of mercury (II) in sewage water collected in different parts in Srikakulam District of Andhra Pradesh. 10.0g of the dried sample (sewage waste) was weighed and brought into solution by dry ash method. The results are shown in Table-2. The results showed that the concentration of mercury (II) is 9.6µg in sample-1, 7.8µg in sample-II and 5.6µg in sample-III. The results are compared with atomic absorption spectrophotometry and they are found to be in good agreement.

**Table – 2 Determination of Hg (II) in sewage waste (10grams per sample)**

S.No	Area of the sewage waste	Metal ion found		Recovery % present method
		Present method* (µg)	AAS method (µg)	
1	Rajam	9.6	9.5	98.9
2	Palakonda	7.8	7.9	98.7
3	Srikakulam	5.7	5.8	98.2

.Average value of three determinations

#### ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL WATER SAMPLES:

The developed method was applied for the determination of mercury (II) in spiked water samples. The recovery of mercury (II) from spiked water sample was examined using the general procedure, the results are given in Table-3 showing that the developed method is applicable to analyse Environmental water sample with the percentage recovery for mercury is 99.8. This method was applicable for the analysis of real water samples.

**Table – 3 Determination of Hg (II) in some Environmental water samples**

Sample	Mercury / $\mu\text{g/L}$		Recovery $\pm s, ^a\%$	Recovery $\pm s, ^b\%$
	Added	Found		
Tap water	0	1.5		
	100	101.5	99.9 $\pm$ 0.2	0.21
	500	502.0	100 $\pm$ 0.1	0.25
Well water	0	2.5		
	100	102.0	99.5 $\pm$ 0.1	0.29
	500	505.0	100.3 $\pm$ 0.2	0.15
Lake water	0	130.0		
	100	228.0	98.7 $\pm$ 0.3	0.25
	500	635	100.5 $\pm$ 0.5	0.27
River water(Sarada River)	0	5.0		
	100	103.0	99 $\pm$ 0.2	0.13
	500	505.0	100 $\pm$ 0	0.00
Sea water(Bay of Bengal)	0	3.5		
	100	104.0	100.5 $\pm$ 0.5	0.08
	500	505.0	100.3 $\pm$ 0.4	0.10

a) average of five replicate determinations

b) The measure precision is the relative standard deviation

## REFERENCES

- 1) Senese, F. "Why is mercury a liquid at STP?". General Chemistry Online at Frostburg State University.. Retrieved May 1, 2007.
- 2) Norrby, L.J. (1991). "Why is mercury liquid? Or, why do relativistic effects not get into chemistry textbooks?. Journal of Chemical Education 68 (2): 110. Bibcode 1991JChEd 68.110N.
- 3) Lide, D. R., ed (2005). CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (86th ed.). Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press. pp. 4.125–4.126.
- 4) Maysam., Gharehbaghi, F. Shemirani and M.D. Farahini, J. of Hazardous materials, Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based on ionic liquid and potentiometric determination of mercury in water samples, 165, 123, 1049-1055, (2009).
- 5) S.A. Reddy. K. J. Reddy., S. L. Narayana., Lalitha, D., Y. S. Rao and A.V. Reddy, J. of Hazardous materials, Extractive potentiometric determination of trace amounts of cadmium(II) in medicinal leaves and environmental samples using benzildithiosemicarbazone (BDTSC), 52, 3, 903-909, (2008).
- 6) Mohammad S. Tehrani, Hamid H. Mohaddam, S. Waqif Husain and Karimzare, J. of Annali di Chimica, Solid-Phase Extraction and Potentiometric determination of Mercury with 6-mercaptopurine in Environmental samples, 97, 8, 675-683, (2007).
- 7) Ashok kumar J, Prathiba Sharma, Lal Kumar Chandel and Bhagawan lala khalal J. of Inclusion Phenomena and macro cyclic Chemistry, Synergistic extraction and potentiometric determination of palladium(II), iron(III), and tellurium(IV) at trace level by newly synthesized p-[4-(3,5-dimethylisoxazolyl)azophenylazo]calix(4)arene , 61, 3-4, 335- 342, (2008).

- 8) Ali Niazi, Tarereh Momeni-Isfahani and Zahra Ahmari, *J. of Hazardous Materials*, Potentiometric determination of Mercury in water samples after cloud point extraction using nonionic surfactants Triton X – 114, 165, 1-3, 1200 – 1203, (2009).
- 9) Aswar and Joshi, A.S., *Indian journal of Chemical Technology*, Solvent extraction and potentiometric determination of copper(II) with 2-hydroxy-5-methylacetophenoneisonicotinoylhydrazone (HMAINH), 15, 1, 79-81, (2008).
- 10) Yan xiong, Zhi-rong Zhou and Fu-hai WU. *J. of China University of Mining and Technology*, Kinetic Potentiometric Determination of Trace Titanium (IV) Based on Oxidation Discoloration of Acid Chrome Blue K with Hydrogen Peroxide, 17, 3, 418-423, (2007).
- 11) M. Ghaedi, M. Montazerzohori and M. Soylak. *J. of Hazardous Materials*, Solid phase extraction method for selective determination of Pb (II) in water samples using 4-(4-methoxybenzylideneimine) thiophenole, 142, 1-2, 368-373, (2007).
- 12) K. J. Reddy., J. R. Kumar., C. Ramachandraiah., T. Thriveni and A. V. Reddy, *J. of Food Chemistry*, Potentiometric determination of zinc in foods using N-ethyl-3-carbazolecarboxaldehyde-3-thiosemicarbazone: Evaluation of a new analytical reagent, 101, 2, 585-591, (2007).
- 13) Humaira Khan, M. J. Ahmed, M.I. Bhangar, *J. of Analytical Science*, A Simple Potentiometric Determination of Trace Level Mercury Using 1,5-Diphenylthiocarbazone Solubilized in Micelle, 21, 5, 507 (2005).
- 14) Derya Kara and Nalan Tekin, *J. of Microchimica Acta*, Solid-Phase Extraction and Potentiometric Determination of Trace Amounts of Mercury in Natural Samples, 149, 3 – 4, 193 – 198 (2005).
- 15) Rajesh .N and M.S. Hari, *J. of Spectrochimica Acta molecular and Bimoleccular Spectroscopy*, Potentiometric Determination of inorganic Mercury(II) after preconcentration of its diphelythiocarbazone complex on a cellulose column, 70,5,1104-1108 (2008).
- 16) J.Vulterin, *Ceskosl.farm*, 1963, 12, 391.
- 17) P Job, *Ann, Chim, (Paris)*, 1928,9, 113.
- 18) J.A.Oye and A.L.jones, *Ind.Eng.Chem.Anal.Ed*, 1944, 16, 11.