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Abstract

Tumor-Stroma Ratio, a marker of tumor microenvironment, proved to be a reliable independent prognostic predictor in many solid tumors but its value in transitional carcinoma is still under research. Visual quantification of tumoral and stromal areas is possible but is time consuming and subjective. Machine learning image segmentation can improve diagnostic precision. Our research interest is to evaluate how precision pathology tools (machine learning segmentation of whole slide images) may improve quantification of the tumor-stroma ratio in early muscle invasive bladder tumors and increase histologic diagnostic prognostic value. 10 cases of pathology stage T2A bladder cancers whole slide images were carefully matched (sex, age and smoking status) with 10 cases of pT2B from the same open database (Cancer Genome Atlas Urothelial Bladder Carcinoma dataset, TCGA-BLCA). The machine learning segmentation used a trained approach and was performed under 3 labels (tumor, stroma, other). The mean tumor to stroma ratio was significant higher (tumor>stroma) in pT2A cohort (p<0.0001). Vital prognostic was different between groups: 90% of subjects were alive at 3 years after diagnostic in pT2A cohort and only 40% in pT2B cohort. Our proof-of-concept study suggest the utility of the tumor-stroma ratio in differentiating challenging diagnostics of early muscle invasive urothelial carcinoma. A larger, real world data study will have to confirm the benefits of this marker in everyday clinical settings.
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Introduction

Urothelial bladder carcinoma (UBC) is a common urinary malignancy [1]. It represents more than 10% of new cancer diagnostics, worldwide [2]. It is often found in elderly males (sex-ratio males to females of 3.5:1), with a median age at diagnostic of 65 years [3]. Environmental factors (like air pollution and tobacco use) and genetics are considered responsible for the significant morbidity and mortality associated with this disease [4]. UBC originates in the epithelial layer of urothelium, a highly specialized, multi-stratified tissue existing in the distant urinary tract [5]. The normal urothelial tissue characteristics may explain the numerous forms and variants of UBC and the complexity of the histologic diagnostic [6]. A precise histologic characterization (types, forms and variants) of any UBC is important as it will ultimately dictate the patient prognostic under treatment. The dominant UBC histologic type (90%) is transitional carcinoma [7]. More than 70% of transitional carcinomas are low grade, with a uniform papillary architecture and are Non-Muscle Invasive (NMIBC), but are still susceptible to recurrence...
and progression [8]. Muscle-invasive BC (MIBC) have a marked tendency to histological diversity and is frequently associated with stromal and inflammatory reaction, fibroblastic proliferation and fibrosis [9], all factors that will add supplementary challenges to the routine diagnostic. MIBC demonstrates an aggressive clinical behavior even in early stages [10]. Improving UBC grading precision (both clinical and pathological) was a continuous and strenuous exercise over time with results that are still under evaluation [11-14]. The advent of new, specific, histologic biomarkers provided more clarity in pathology grading [15] but both immunohistologic and biomolecular procedures are costly, time consuming and sometimes subjective, most of these methods having a high degree of inter-observational variability [16,17]. In early MICB, pathological grading remains the mainstay for treatment option but a precise differentiation between early forms is not always easy as changes at muscularis propria level are often subtle [18]. Optical detection of muscularis propria invasion in early MIBC can be difficult to substantiate as it can be subject of multiple technical challenges but the right diagnostic will dictate an adequate therapeutic approach and will show tremendous prognostic value. The capacity to interact with the surrounding microenvironment is a critical characteristic of any solid malign tumor [19]. The tumoral microenvironment (TME) is a complex and continuously evolving tumor entity that influences tumoral invasiveness and progression [20]. Components of tumor microenvironment can be recognized within the routine microscopic exam but a precise visual characterization of the tumor based on these characteristics is difficult as is subjective, with serious inter and intra observational variability. One important actor in the complex TME landscape is tumoral surrounding stroma, a protective canvas that increase tumor aggressiveness and shield the tumor against treatment [21]. It is generally accepted [22] that stromal development (enhanced local vasculature, modified cellularity, increased inflammatory response, and imbalanced protease activity) often precedes tumor progression. It was also signaled that, at least for some tumors, an active stroma may play a protective role against cancer progression [23, 24]. The ratio between tumor cells and stroma (tumor stroma ratio - TSR) can be measured. A low ratio (more stroma, less tumor) characterized most of the aggressive tumors. The ratio was validated in many solid tumors as an independent prognostic predictive factor [25]. An accepted definition of a high TSR is when more than 50% of tumor are represented by cells. In many tumors, a high TSR was associated with a better clinical prognosis.

Compared to other solid cancers (colorectal, hepatopancreatic, breast), the TSR concept was not extensively tested yet in UBC [26]. Previous published research suggested that a low TSR (a high stroma presence) may reflect a poor prognostic over time [27]. There are several reasons for adopting TSR as part of the routine diagnostic toolkit in UBC [28]. It is measured in Hematoxylin-Eosin (HE) stained slides. It had a demonstrated prognostic predictive value in many solid tumors. As no other stains are needed, there is a possibility to better control diagnostic costs. In early MICB, TSR may support the histologic diagnostic and provide objective arguments for making the differentiation between pT2A and pT2B, simple. The challenge comes from visual quantification of TSR, a method that is subjective and time consuming even for highly specialised uro-pathologists. A machine learning analysis (using a technique named semantic segmentation) can differentiate between tumor and stroma and provide an objective ratio, fast and at low costs. Our research interest is to evaluate how precision pathology tools (machine learning segmentation of whole slide images - WSI) may improve quantification of the tumor-stroma ratio in early muscle invasive bladder tumors and may increase histologic diagnostic prognostic value.

**Materials and Methods**

Data selection. 10 cases with pathological stage (p)T2A UBC diagnostic were selected from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), a public, open database available at National Institute of Health-National Cancer Institute (access @ https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov). Each case had at least one diagnostic WSI and an associated pathology report that served as “ground truth” (pathology data available @ https://cancer.digitalslideatlas.org). The ten selected cases were carefully matched (age, sex, smoking status) with 10 (p)T2B cases, from the same databases. The (p)T stage (based on AJCC criteria) was decided based on the degree of muscularis propria invasion (inner or outer half). All sampled cases were classified, based on cellular architecture, as high grade. Epidemiologic, clinical and genomic biomarkers information was available for all selected cases. Cases with an extreme number of genetic mutations (both at high and low ends) were excluded.

Data processing 20 WSI were downloaded and evaluated using QuPath software [29]. At a 10x magnification (1 μm=1 pixel), a 1024x1024 pixels rectangular region of interest (ROI) was selected by both investigators, in consensus. Selected ROIs images (area=1048576 pixels²) were deconvoluted (normalized) using the FIJI software [30]. Only the hematoxylin channel image was used for semantic segmentation (figure 1), under 3 labels (tumor, stroma, other). For segmentation we used a dedicated WEKA machine learning platform plugin [31]. The area covered under each label was finally measured and recorded using FIJI capacities. In order to avoid segmentation overfitting, the classifier was initially trained on a single pT1 image and then tested on another single pT3 image (pT3) (procedure known as the data veracity test). Once the classifier was considered performant,
it was used for the segmentation of all of the 20 selected cases, without any other alteration. TSR was calculated using only tumor and stroma areas, without considering the “other” area label. All cases were blindly evaluated by both authors (visual WSI exam) looking for tumoral stromal area approximation (less or more than 50% of tumoral cellularity). A second objective of the human exam was to confirm the initial diagnostic criterion (invasion of muscularis propria).

Ethics Data used in this study was coming from an open, public database where cases are totally anonymized. The de-identification process was performed by data curators. Our study followed the Canadian ethics research provisions for secondary data use studies (TCPs 2(2018)) and the principles specified in Declaration of Helsinki. The overall TSI research was evaluated and approved by the IRB of “Sfântul Ioan” Hospital Bucharest (28827/Nov 2021).

**Results**

10 pT2A cases (80% males, 40% smokers, mean age 68.5±8.43 years) were carefully matched with 10 pT2B cases (80% males, 40% smokers, mean age 70.7±8.7 years) from TCGA-BLCA project (412 cases). From each WSI, a region of interest (ROI) was selected, in consensus. The ROI image was sampled and prepared. Finally, images were segmented using a previously trained segmentation plugin and areas were measured. The pT2A group had a mean tumor area of 58.25%, a mean stroma area of 38.6% and a 3% area for “other”. pT2B group had a mean tumor area of 40.8% (“tumor”), 57% (“stroma”) and 2.1% (“other”) on similar ROIs (table 1, figure 2). All cases were papillary, high grade UBC and all were considered histological grade 2. In pT2A group, the multi-tumor diagnostic was an exception. In pT2B, 2 cases were squamous (>10%) and 3 cases had a CIS associated diagnostic. In terms of invasiveness, in pT2A group, 1 case was multi-centric. In pT2B 5 cases were characterized as multicentric, 3 cases demonstrated vascular and 1 lymphatic spread.

Avoiding overfitting is an important step in using machine learning segmentation. In our case, the classifier was trained separately on one pT1 case (male, 50 years, non-smoker, low grade tumor). It showed 63% tumoral area and a stromal area of 27%. Then the classifier was tested on a pT3 case (male, 57 years, non-smoker, high grade tumor) with a measured tumoral area of 35% and a stromal area of 64% (similar ROI). Both cases used for classifier training/testing were with squamous traits (>10%). A blinded visual exam of

**Table 1:** TSR, areas measurements and demographic data in pT2A / pT2B cohorts the classifier was trained in one pT1 and tested in another pT3 case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
<th>Cohort pT2A (10 cases)</th>
<th>Cohort pT2B (10 cases)</th>
<th>One pT1 case for training</th>
<th>One pT3 case for testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>80%M</td>
<td>80%M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>68.5±8.43</td>
<td>70.7±8.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histo</td>
<td>100% High</td>
<td>100% High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration MM</td>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Histology</td>
<td>1 nodule</td>
<td>2 squamous, 3 CIS</td>
<td>Squamous</td>
<td>Squamous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>1 multicentric</td>
<td>5 multicentric, 3 vascular, 1 lymphatic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Multicentric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual approximation of ROI (blinded)</td>
<td>40%+ tumor</td>
<td>50%+ tumor</td>
<td>55%+ tumor</td>
<td>50%+ tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor area</td>
<td>58.25%*</td>
<td>40.8%*</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroma Area</td>
<td>38.6%*</td>
<td>57.1%*</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.15%*</td>
<td>2.1%*</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival</td>
<td>9 Alive / 1 Death</td>
<td>5 Alive / 5 Death</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Deceased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSR</td>
<td>1.48±0.21#</td>
<td>0.72±0.11#</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Averaged data, 10 cases, MM=muscularis propria, CIS = Carcinoma in situ, #p<0.0001. Visual approximation used a five points Visual Analog Scale

WSI established (based on the 50% tumoral limit) that results were concordant with machine learning measurements only in 40% of pT2A cases and in 50% of pT2B cases, with a high observational test-retest variability (50-55%). 9/10 cases in pT2A and only 5/10 cases in pT2B cohort were alive at 3 years after the primary MIBC diagnostic (figure 3).

Discussion

UBC is a common tumor, frequently encountered in elderly males. The tumor may show a large diversity of histologic forms and variants that will dictate invasiveness and progression. A precise histologic diagnostic is required as histology remains the main pillar of treatment decision. Finding new biomarkers that will better characterize UBC in an objective, reproducible way, is a constant research effort. TME gained researchers’ interest as it demonstrated to be an effective way to characterize solid tumors. TSR, a histologic marker of TME, proved to be a reliable indicator of tumor aggressiveness, an independent prognostic indicator in many solid tumors. In most of the solid tumors (lung, breast, colorectal) distinction between low and high stroma can be done by visual approximation using simple visual microscopy tools but is still imprecise and time consuming. In UBC, the limit between high and low TSR is difficult to approximate using visual measurements (cut-off limit is 50% - Micke op cit. [29]). Our research objective was to evaluate how precise pathology (machine learning segmentation of WSI) [32] will improve TSR quantification in MIBC. We sampled 10 UBC pT2A cases from TCGA-BLCA project at NHRC. Cases have at least one diagnostic WSI. Every selected case was carefully matched (sex, age, smoking status) with a pT2B case from the same database. All WSI images were obtained from tissues that were processed following a unique, highly standardized technique and have associated a complete pathology report that served as ground truth for diagnostic. As genetic data was also available, cases with a particular high or low load of genetic mutations were discarded from initial selection. All cases were high grade papillary transitional carcinomas. Pathologic pT2 stage was defined based on muscularis propria invasion (inner vs outer half) and was well documented in the existing pathology report. All tumors were considered grade 2. All images were examined at 10x (1pixel=1 µm). From a tumor area that showed a high tumor and a low artifact load, a ROI of 1024x1024 pixels was selected by both authors, in consensus. ROI images were normalized (deconvoluted) and then segmented using a pre-trained classifier, under three labels (tumor, stroma, other). In order to avoid over-fitting, the classifier was initially trained on a pT1 case and then tested on a pT3 case.

The classifier was used in all 20 selected cases without any other alteration. After segmentation, each area was measured. The calculated mean TSR in pT2A group was significantly
different (p<0.0001) compared to T2B cohort mean. Repeated tests showed no results variability. We performed an individual blinded visual diagnostic on downloaded WSI. Tumor/stroma area approximation using a visual analogue scale (% from low to high) showed a low precision (40-50%) and a high intra and inter-observational diagnostic variability (50%-55%). The same subjectivity of visual analogue scale in difficult to diagnostic UBC was confirmed by other published data [33]. The survival analysis of selected subjects confirmed the clinical predictive value of TSR marker in early MIBC. 90% of T2A subjects were alive at 3 years after diagnostic (1 dead male, 74 years old). In T2B, only 40% of cases were still alive at 3 years from diagnostic (4 males, mean age at diagnostic 69 years) (Figure 3).

From a genomic perspective, the pT2A group showed a rather moderate altered genomic profile with variations in 2 genes that affected more than 50% of cases (MUC16 50%, TP53 60%). For the pT2B cohort, the genomic picture was very rich, subjects showing multiple genes mutations: TTN (90%), TP53 (80%), MUC16 (60%), DNAH5 (60%), HMCN1 (50%), FBN2 (50%) and RYR2 (50%). TTN and TP53 are genes that affected most of the subjects included in the TCGA-BLCA dataset (>50% of all subjects). TP53 is a recognized prognostic indicator in bladder cancers. As all of the cases were high grade, invasive transitional carcinomas, a low number of cases showed a FGFR3 mutation (30% in pT2A and none in pT2B cohort) [34]. The low number of cases used in our study did not allow any prediction concerning the effects of specific gene mutations on survival.

Machine learning TSR quantification was fast, precise and objective. There was no difference in results when similar ROIs were tested with the same classifier. In contemporary clinical practice, UBC is characterized using immunohistochemical diagnostics that are expensive, time consuming and not very precise as quantification is performed using a visual analogic scale (fast score) [35]. Routine use of machine learning techniques on immunohistochemical stained slides is controversial as the biomarker quantification is considered not iso-stoichiometric [36]. Quantification STR on HE slides can also be automated, fact that can reduce diagnostic time and costs. The main limitation of our study is the low number of cases evaluated (proof of concept). TCGA-BLCA is structured as a genomic dataset with rich attached pathology information (412 UBC cases). The dataset is built mainly on advanced carcinomas (60% of cases being pT3 or more) and early cases are rare. We considered that the quality of data (strict inclusion criteria, well standardized histologic preparing techniques and the existence of a ground truth – a pathology report) are benefits that increased the validity of machine learning quantification, our main study objective. The complex demographic and genomic associated info were also seen as advantages for our study.

Conclusions

A precise machine-learning measurement of TSR in MIBC is possible. When performed in highly standardized WSI, the difference between pathology stage 2A and 2B ratios was highly significant. TSR reflected well the tumor aggressiveness and had a true prognostic prediction value. As the number of cases was low, any association between tumor staging/grading and genomic picture was not possible but it we have seen a clear difference between the genomic picture of the two analyzed cohorts. Larger studies focusing on real world pathologic data are needed for method validation and for any possible wide genomic correlations.
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