Patient-Specific Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Feature Tracking Approach for Scar Detection in Concomitant Ischemic and Non-Ischemic Heart Disease
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Abstract

Aim: This study investigated a patient-specific approach of using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) feature tracking for scar detection in a heterogeneous patient group with chronic ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease.

Methods: CMR exams of 89 patients with concomitant chronic ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease (IHD+) as well as 65 patients with ischemic scars only (IHD) were retrospectively evaluated. In all patients, global (GCS) and segmental circumferential strain (SCS) was derived from native cine images using a dedicated software (Segment CMR, Medviso). After calculation of patient-specific median GCS (GCSmedian), segmental values from GCSmedian percentage plots were correlated with corresponding myocardial segments in late gadolinium enhancement (LGE).

Results: Overall GCS ranged between -3.5% to -19.8% and average GCS was lower in IHD+ than in IHD (p < 0.05). In IHD, 19% of all myocardial segments were infarcted, in IHD+ 16.6%. Additionally, non-ischemic LGE was present in 6.7% of segments in IHD+. Correlation of GCSmedian percentage plots with corresponding LGE showed that presence of ischemic scar tissue in a myocardial segment was very likely below a cutoff of 39.5% GCSmedian (87.5% sensitivity, 86.3% specificity, AUC 0.907, 95% CI 0.875-0.938, p < 0.05).

Conclusion: In patient-specific GCSmedian percentage plots calculated from native cine images, ischemic scar tissue can be suspected in myocardial segments below the threshold of 40% GCSmedian (sensitivity 88%, specificity 86%), even in a heterogeneous patient cohort with ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease.
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Introduction

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) became essential for imaging scar tissue in ischemic heart disease [1]. Clinically established native techniques for detection of ischemic scars are still limited with reduced diagnostic power of CMR exams in patients with ischemic heart disease and contraindications to gadolinium. CMR feature tracking measures myocardial deformation and provides information about global and segmental strain derived from routinely acquired native cine sequences by tracking previously registered voxels throughout the cardiac cycle [2–4]. Segmental circumferential strain showed considerable potential of distinguishing scar tissue from remote myocardium based on reduced tissue deformation properties in infarcts compared to strain values of adjacent healthy myocardium [5–8]. However, impairment of strain is not specific for ischemic damage and strain values may be altered by various cardiac conditions like cardiomyopathies or other non-ischemic cardiac diseases [9]. Moreover, defining universally valid thresholds for infarcted and remote myocardium in heterogenous patient groups seems challenging due to inter-individual variability of global strain values. Therefore, this study investigates a patient-specific approach for scar detection using a threshold based on patient-specific median global circumferential strain (GCSmedian) in patients with ischemic and with both ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease.

Methods

Study Population

This retrospective study had institutional review board and local ethics committee approval and all included patients provided written informed consent. This study analysed patients undergoing CMR exams between September 2017 and January 2020 in our department. From 181 patients with both chronic ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease, 89 patients could be enrolled (“ischemic heart disease+” [IHD+]; Figure 1). Of these 89 patients with ischemic heart disease, 28 patients additionally had diagnosis of hypertensive heart disease, 23 had hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, 26 had severe LV dilatation and 12 patients had proven amyloidosis [10–12]. From the same time interval, 65 patients who were diagnosed with 85 chronic ischemic scars without evidence of other, non-ischemic cardiac conditions (“ischemic heart disease”/IHD) were additionally enrolled (Table 1). Patients with evidence or suspect of an acute cardiac condition (acute chest pain, suspicious electrocardiogram, elevated cardiac enzymes, relevant coronary stenosis in invasive angiography) in the last 6 months before CMR were excluded.

After exclusion of 92 patients, 89 patients with both chronic ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease were enrolled in IHD+ group: 28 patients with hypertensive heart disease, 26 patients with severe left ventricular dilatation (dilative cardiomyopathy), 23 patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and 12 patients with amyloidosis.

CMR Data Acquisition

All CMR exams were conducted on a clinical 1.5T MR (Achieva, Philips Healthcare). Cine balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) images in long-axis geometries (2-, 3- and 4-chamber view) as well as in short axis orientation covering the left ventricle (LV) (field of view: 350 × 350 mm²).
mm²; repetition time/echo time: 3.0/1.5 ms; spatial resolution 1.2 × 1.2 × 8 mm³; number of cardiac phases: 50) were acquired for functional LV assessment. After acquisition of cine images, Gadolinium (0.2 mmol gadobutrol [Gadovist; Bayer Schering Pharma, Zurich, Switzerland] per kilogram body weight) was applied for LGE sequences (inversion recovery gradient-echo sequence; field of view: 350 × 350 mm²; repetition time/echo time: 3.5/1.7 ms; spatial resolution 1.2 × 1.2 × 8 mm³; inversion time: 205–250 ms; flip angle: 15°) in short axis orientation and in 2-, 3- and 4-chamber view.

**CMR Data Analysis**

**CMR feature tracking:** Global (GCS) and segmental (SCS) circumferential strain was derived from native cine short axis stacks (anonymized data) using a specific software (Segment CMR v3.0, Medviso; Figure 2) as previously described [7] with both readers (M.P. and T.H.) being blinded to each other, to patient information and to LGE sequences. In every patient, patient-specific median GCS (GCS\textsubscript{median}) was calculated from the segmental circumferential strain values. Subsequently, percentages of GCS\textsubscript{median} were visualized in an individualized polar plot map (Figure 2d) and segmental values were correlated with corresponding myocardial segments in LGE short axis (reference standard) [13].

**Assessment of LV Function and LGE Images:** For the radiological report, ventricular volumes and function were calculated using IntelliSpace Portal (Philips, Version 8.0.3). LGE was classified as “ischemic LGE” (subendocardial or transmural LGE with accompanying regional wall motion abnormality) or “non-ischemic LGE” (LGE in a midmyocardial, epicardial or circular subendocardial/diffuse distribution without concomitant wall motion pathology). In ischemic LGE, segments with infarct transmurality above 50% wall thickness were considered “non-viable” [14]. Every CMR report was revised by a EACVI level III cardiologist with > 20 years of experience in CMR (R.M.).

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analyses were conducted using commercially available software (IBM SPSS Statistics, release 25.0;}

![Figure 2: 55-year-old male patient with chronic LAD infarction.](image-url)

2a: Ischemic LGE in AHA segment 7,8,13 and 14 (red arrows) in a 55-year-old patient with chronic ischemic heart disease. 2b: Endo- and epicardial contouring of corresponding cine short axis slices preceding strain calculation (demonstrated by a basal, midventricular and apical slice). 2c: Circumferential strain calculation with a polar map depicting segmental values; mean GCS in this patient is -6.6%. 2d. Based on segmental strain values, patient-specific median GCS (GCS\textsubscript{median}) was calculated and individual GCS\textsubscript{median} percentage polar plot maps were generated. The resulting percentage value of GCS\textsubscript{median} of every segment was correlated with corresponding myocardial segment in LGE short axis.

AHA- American Heart Association; GCS/SCS- Global/Segmental Circumferential Strain; LAD- Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery; LGE- Late Gadolinium Enhancement
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Table 1: Patient characteristics of IHD and IHD+.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IHD</th>
<th>IHD+</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 65</td>
<td>n = 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>24/41</td>
<td>42/47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62 ± 9</td>
<td>60 ± 12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m2)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>27 ± 6</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LV morphology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV-EDV [ml]</td>
<td>174 ± 22</td>
<td>185 ± 28</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV-SV [ml]</td>
<td>93 ± 12</td>
<td>81 ± 15</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV-EF [%]</td>
<td>53 ± 4</td>
<td>44 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ischemic LGE (segments)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCX</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV non-ischemic LGE (segments)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>epicardial</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>midmyocardial</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circular/diffuse</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CMR LV strain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GCS (%; range)</td>
<td>-16 ± 4 (-6.6 to -19.8)</td>
<td>-12.9 ± 5 (-3.5 to -17.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SCS infarct (%; range)</td>
<td>-5.9 ± 3 (2.3 to -7.3)</td>
<td>-5.4 ± 2 (0.4 to -9.1)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SCS non-ischemic (%; range)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-11.8 ± 5 (-2.4 to -21.3)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SCS remote (%; range)</td>
<td>-17.4 ± 5 (-11.5 to -22.8)</td>
<td>-16.6 ± 4 (-6.5 to -19.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSA- Body Surface Area; BMI- Body Mass Index; GCS/SCS- Global/Segmental Circumferential Strain; LAD- Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery; LCX- Left Circumflex Artery; LGE- Late Gadolinium Enhancement; LV-EDV- Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Volume; LV-EF- Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; LV-SV- Left Ventricular Stroke Volume; RCA- Right Coronary Artery

Figure 3: ROC curve for distinguishing infarcted and non-infarcted myocardium based on percentage values of GCS\textsubscript{median}.

In segments with values below 39.5% patient-specific median GCS (GCS\textsubscript{median}), ischemic scar can be assumed with 87.5 % sensitivity and 86.3% specificity (AUC 0.907 [95% CI: 0.875 – 0.938, p < 0.05], Youden’s index 0.74). Infarcted segments in LGE short axis stacks served as gold standard.

AUC- Area Under The Curve; GCS- Global Circumferential Strain; GCS\textsubscript{median}- Patient-Specific Median Global Circumferential Strain; LGE- Late Gadolinium Enhancement; ROC- Receiver Operating Characteristics
Infarct Detection with $GCS_{\text{median}}$ Percentage Plots in IHD and IHD+: Analysis of percentage plots of $GCS_{\text{median}}$ calculated for every patient (IHD and IHD+) revealed a cutoff value of 39.5% below the presence of ischemic LGE in a segment had a sensitivity of 87.5% and specificity of 86.3% (AUC 0.907, 95% CI 0.875-0.938, p < 0.05; Figure 3, 4).

In segments with values below 39.5% patient-specific median GCS ($GCS_{\text{median}}$), ischemic scar can be assumed with 87.5% sensitivity and 86.3% specificity (AUC 0.907 [95% CI: 0.875 – 0.938, p < 0.05], Youden’s index 0.74). Infarcted segments in LGE short axis stacks served as gold standard.

In some IHD+ patients with $GCS_{\text{median}}$ below -7% (3 patients; 2 patients with amyloidosis and one with severe LV dilatation), 8 infarcted segments showed values above the proposed threshold of 40% $GCS_{\text{median}}$ (Figure 4). Furthermore, 6 viable subendocardial scars had a strain value above the 40% $GCS_{\text{median}}$ threshold, however, all those segments could be found in patients already diagnosed with at least one segment below the threshold. Due to exclusion of segment 17 from GCS calculation, 9 patients with infarction of the apex could not be diagnosed. Seven segments met the $GCS_{\text{median}}$ criteria of ischemic LGE without displaying scar tissue in LGE images; further analysis revealed that strain impairment in 4 of the 7 segments were due to a LV diverticulum, clearly visible in short axis cine and 3 segments had akinesia in cine imaging without wall thinning and represented most probably myocardial hibernation. Lastly, local artifacts in cine images lead to low values in 10 segments suggesting ischemic scar but without corresponding LGE or wall motion abnormality.

Discussion

This study investigated a patient-specific approach of using CMR feature tracking for native scar detection in a heterogenous population with patients with chronic ischemic as well as non-ischemic heart disease. A threshold below 40% patient-specific median global circumferential strain ($GCS_{\text{median}}$) indicated ischemic scar tissue in a myocardial segment with a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 86%. Derived from routinely acquired native cine images, CMR feature tracking displays global and segmental myocardial deformation [16]. Clinically first introduced in echocardiography, this method is also increasingly used in clinical CMR since it provides additional information from cine images beyond visual wall motion evaluation [17]. Based on the premise that most LV myocardial fibers are circumferentially orientated and thus contribute to circumferential strain, recently performed studies confirmed that local tissue destruction in ischemic scars leads to significant local circumferential strain impairment in contrast to adjacent remote tissue, allowing to distinguish remote and infarcted myocardium [5,6,18]. Hence, this technique might improve the validity of native CMR exams in patients with ischemic heart disease and contraindication to Gadolinium. However,
Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy (HCM): Diffuse subtle midmyocardial LGE antero- and inferoseptal midventricular (yellow arrows) in a 53-year-old female patient with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; furthermore, transmural infarction in AHA segment 13-15 can be seen. GCS_{median} is -17.7\% and infarced segments show values below 40\% GCS_{median} (15\%, 17\% and 18\%, respectively).

Dilative Cardiomyopathy (DCM): 65-year-old male patient with left ventricular dilatation, reduced LV- EF (37\%), midmyocardial septal LGE in basal section (yellow arrow) and transmural infarction in segment 10 and 15. GCS_{median} is -9.6\% and infarced segments have a value below 40\% GCS_{median} (11\% and 8\%, respectively).

Hypertensive Heart Disease (HHD): 55-year-old male patient with concentric left ventricular hypertrophy, diffuse LGE antero- and postero-septal basal (yellow arrow) and large ischemic scar in segment 7,8,13 and 14. Scar tissue displays GCS_{median} percentage values of 23\%, 19\%, 29 and 27\%, respectively.

Amyloidosis: 62-year-old male patient with amyloidosis (diffuse LGE, yellow arrow), very low GCS_{median} (-6.8\%) and small scar inferior lateral (red arrow) with segment GCS_{median} percentage value of 47\%, above the proposed threshold of 40\% GCS_{median}.

AHA- American Heart Association; GCS- Global Circumferential Strain; GCS_{median}- Patient-Specific Median Global Circumferential Strain; LGE- Late Gadolinium Enhancement; LV-EF- Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction.
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myocardial strain can be influenced by various conditions, not only by myocardial infarction, but also by inflammatory changes, cardiomyopathies, cardiac infiltrative disease or states like hibernating myocardium, reducing specificity of segmental circumferential strain regarding scar detection in the broad population [19–21]. Therefore, we investigated a patient-specific approach in patients with chronic ischemic (IHD) and concomitant ischemic and non-ischemic heart disease (IHD+) with the aim of finding a threshold based on individual strain values, below which ischemic scars can be suspected with high probability. To our knowledge, there are no published studies yet regarding patient-specific usage of CMR feature tracking for scar detection. Analyzing the entire study cohort, global circumferential strain values ranged widely between pathologically low values (-3.5%, patient with amyloidosis and infarction) and normal GCS (-19.8%, patient with small ischemic scar) [16] with average GCS being lower in IHD+ than IHD. Interestingly, average segmental strain values in infarcted segments were similarly low in both subgroups. Non-ischemic LGE in IHD+, on the other hand, showed less severe impairment of local circumferential strain compared to segments affected with ischemic scars. This can be explained by the degree of LV wall damage caused by non-viable, ischemic scars in contrast to non-ischemic LGE with mostly preserved wall integrity. Using patient-specific median GCS (GCS\text{median}) - which is more robust to outliers than mean GCS - and segmental circumferential strain values, GCS\text{median}, percentage polar plots could be easily generated. Segment-wise correlation of the resulting polar plot values with LGE images revealed that a threshold below 40% GCS\text{median} can identify the presence of ischemic scar with 88% sensitivity and 82% specificity in a heterogenous patient group with various cardiac conditions. Few infarcted segments showed values above the proposed threshold, most of them in patients with severely reduced GCS (below -7% GCS), e.g. in amyloidosis patients. In fact, identifying ischemic scars in amyloidosis with LGE imaging can be problematic as well due to often extensive diffuse LGE that might cover additional ischemic scars. Also, some viable infarcts caused false negative results with values above the proposed threshold. Viable scars might be difficult to diagnose even in LGE due to similar signal intensities between scar and adjacent myocardium and only subtle wall motion disturbance [22]. A possible approach to solve this problem could be additional acquisition and evaluation of T1 mapping with presumably higher local values in infarcted tissue compared to adjacent remote myocardium [23,24]. We noticed only few false positive results, most of them due to local artifacts. Due to a technical limitation, infarction of the apex (AHA segment 17) could not be calculated in SCS, however, infarction of the apex is often apparent in cine long axes as an apical akinesia or dyskinesia. Since only one software was used to derive global and segmental circumferential strain, further studies are required to prove if the 40% GCS\text{median} threshold is also applicable for data calculated with other commercially available CMR feature tracking software. Cine images are often performed before contrast application; therefore, the proposed feature tracking method could be easily applied in a prospective clinical setting in patients with known or suspected ischemic heart disease. This method might reduce gadolinium application in future CMR exams and could improve diagnostic power of native CMR exams in patients who decline contrast or have contraindications for gadolinium.

Conclusion

A threshold below 40% patient-specific median global circumferential strain (GCS\text{median}) indicates chronic scars in myocardial segments with a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 86%. Since alternatives for detecting scar tissue from native CMR exams are limited, this patient-specific cine-based method could help to improve diagnostic power of native CMR exams in patients with contraindication to Gadolinium. However, in patients with severely reduced GCS, this method might be not sensitive enough to detect ischemic scars and additional use of tissue characterization techniques should be considered.
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