

## Research Article

# Prevalence of asymptomatic Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic at Plateau State Specialist Hospital, Jos, Nigeria

Jim M. Banda<sup>1\*</sup>, Deborah Cletus<sup>1</sup>, Zakka Sheyin<sup>1</sup>, Surajudeen A. Junaid<sup>2</sup>, Baba John<sup>3</sup>, Sani S.D Mohammed<sup>4</sup>, James G. Damen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Jos, Jos. Plateau State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medial Laboratory Science, College of Medicine, Federal University lafia, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University, Lapai, Niger State, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Departments of microbiology, Nile University, Abuja

**\*Corresponding Author:** Jim M. Banda, Department of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Jos, Jos. Plateau State, Nigeria, Tel: +2348025595945; E-mail: [jimbanda31@yahoo.com](mailto:jimbanda31@yahoo.com)

**Received:** 10 July 2020; **Accepted:** 20 July 2020; **Published:** 24 July 2020

**Citation:** Jim M. Banda, Deborah Cletus, Zakka Sheyin, Surajudeen A. Junaid, Baba John, Sani SD Mohammed, James G. Damen. Prevalence of asymptomatic Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic at Plateau State Specialist Hospital, Jos, Nigeria. Archives of Microbiology & Immunology 4 (2020): 121-130.

## Abstract

Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB), common occurrence in pregnancy usually results in maternal and fetal complications. Bacterial infection is often missed in pregnancy because urine microscopy, culture and sensitivity is not part of the approved laboratory tests for women attending antenatal clinic (ANC)s in Nigeria. This study was a cross-sectional, laboratory-based study involving 136 first-, second-, and third trimester, consecutive pregnant women attending ANC at the Plateau State Specialist Hospital Jos, who gave informed consent and submitted urine samples for the determination of prevalence of ASB using Cysteine Lactose

Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) agar by the standard loop technique and microscopy. Demographic data was obtained by administering structured questionnaire to the study participants. Data obtained were analyzed using SPSS version 21 and p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Out of 136 urine samples examined 10.3% were positive for ASB. Of the total ASB isolates, 71.4% was *Escherichia coli*, the most prevalent uropathogen, followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (14.3%) while others were 14.2%. Age group 24-28 years had the highest prevalence 5.1% followed by 19-23 years age group with 2.2%. The lowest prevalence was age groups 29-33 and 34-50 years with prevalence of

1.5% each. In respect to trimesters, second and third trimester recorded the highest with 4.4% each while the first trimester had 1.5%. Based on the antibiogram, Ciprofloxacin and Sparfloxacin were found to be most effective antibiotics against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. This study revealed high prevalence of ASB among antenatal women in the population studied. Further study with larger sample size covering the entire state is advocated to discover the possibility of making bacterial microscopy, culture and sensitivity part of antenatal care for the purpose of early diagnosis and proper management of pregnant women in plateau state.

**Keywords:** Asymptomatic bacteriuria; Pregnancy; Microscopy; Culture; Sensitivity testing

## Introduction

Bacteriuria is the presence of bacteria in urine of human while asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) is defined as the presence of actively multiplying bacteria in the urine of patient without any obvious signs or symptom of urinary tract infection (Mitchelle *et al.*, 2003). Globally, prevalence of ASB in pregnancy varies from 2-10% (Alvarez *et al.*, 2010). In Nigeria the prevalence ASB ranges from 15-21% (Taiwo *et al.*, 2009).

Urinary tract infections (UTI) are mainly caused by the bacteria that grow and multiply in the urinary tract of all age groups and especially in pregnancy (Schnarr and Smaill, 2008). Report showed that high level of sexual activity, low socioeconomic status, advanced maternal age, diabetes, patients with Acquired Immunodeficiency Disease Syndrome (AIDS)/Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), anomalies and defects of the urinary tract are other risk factors (Matuszkiewicz-Rowińska *et al.*, 2015). Next to anemia, Urinary tract infections is a serious common cause of complications in pregnant women,

which if untreated can adversely affect the health of infant or the pregnant mother (Manjula *et al.*, 2013).

For a good obstetrics outcome, all urinary tract infections should be adequately treated following laboratory diagnosis by microscopy, culture and sensitivity (Coulthard *et al.*, 2010). Untreated urinary tract infection (UTI) s generally could lead to serious pregnancy complications both to the mother and the fetus (Stamm, and Hooton 1993). Immunological and physiological changes in pregnancy appear to encourage the growth of both commensal and non-commensal microorganisms (Nath *et al.*, 1996). The physiological increase in plasma volume during pregnancy decreases urine concentration and up to 70% pregnant women develop glucosuria (Asscher *et al.*, 1966; Gibble *et al.*, 1995) which encourages bacterial growth in the urine. Pregnancy causes numerous changes in the woman's body involving physical and hormonal which increases the risk of urinary stasis and vesicoureteral reflux (Chaliha and Stantoo, 2002). These changes result in difficulty with hygiene due to a distended belly thus increase the frequency of UTI in pregnant women (Stamm, and Hooton 1993). Urine microscopy, culture and sensitivity (M/C/S) does not form part of the standard laboratory investigations conducted in women during the antenatal visits in Nigerian women as well as in women in other developing countries probably due to cost and delay in obtaining culture result (Imade *et al.*, 2010). This lack of inclusion of M/C/S does not allow for proper management of women with ASB, thus increasing the risk of coming down with pregnancy complications. For this reason, there is therefore likely hood of high burden of ASB in pregnant women and its associated complications in Jos and environment that is still highly underestimated. This study aims at determining the prevalence of ASB amongst pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at plateau state hospital, Jos.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Area

The study was conducted at the Plateau State specialist hospital, a tertiary health institution located in Jos, Plateau State with over 40 different ethnic groups (Hodder, 1959; Daniel 2002; Plateau State, People and Culture 2004).

### Study Design

This was a cross-sectional study conducted between the month of September and November, 2019 in Jos, North Central of Nigeria. Participants who satisfied the inclusion criteria and consented to participate in the study were recruited as they presented to the ANC at Plateau State specialist hospital.

### Ethical Clearance

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the Plateau State Specialist Hospital, Jos. A written consent was obtained from the 136 study participants before the commencement of urine samples collection.

### Clinical Evaluation and Selection of Participants

All pregnant women at the ANC were briefed about the nature of the study and written informed consent was taken from each person. Apparently health pregnant women (as examined by the gynecologist) who fulfilled the entry criteria were enrolled into the study. Participants' personal data such as maternal age, marital status, educational level, socio-economic status and other information were sourced from each participant in addition to the data resulting from the gynecological and laboratory investigations and entered into the study.

### Exclusion Criteria

All pregnant women with symptoms of urinary tract infection or already on treatment with antibiotics were excluded from the study.

## Laboratory Methodologies

### Clean-Catch Midstream Urine Sample Collection

Urine samples, 136 were collected from all consenting participants as the present at the ANCs. Recruits were instructed on how to obtain a clean catch of mid-stream urine samples in the morning into a labeled sterile, screw-capped universal container and transported (by placing the urine samples in a cold box away from sunlight) within one hour of collection to the Medical Microbiology laboratory of the Department of Medical laboratory science, University of Jos for processing.

### Sample Processing (Culture Test)

Urine culture was performed using standard wire loop method. A loop-full (0.001 ml) of well mixed freshly voided mid-stream urine was streak-inoculated on Cysteine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) agar plates. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hours under aerobic conditions. Isolates were considered significant if there were  $\geq 10^5$  colony forming unit/ml (CFU/ml) with 2 or less isolates (Washington, 2006). Mixed growth of more than two organisms was considered to be contaminants. Significant isolates were identified by colonial appearance, microscopy, culture and biochemical techniques (Washington, 2006).

### Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Antibiotics susceptibility was carried out by the Kirby-Bauer Disc diffusion method (CLSI, 2011). The following antibiotics discs (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) were tested on the isolates: Ciprofloxacin (10µg), Gentamicin (10µg), Streptomycin (30µg), Reflaxine (10µg), Nalidixic acid (30µg), Ceporex(5µg), Septrin (25µg), Ampicillin (25µg), Augmentin (30µg), and Tarivid (10µg). Antibiotics selections for testing and results determination were based on the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) protocols (CLSI 20011).

## Results

Out of 136 samples collected and analyzed, 14 samples showed significant bacterial growth, giving a prevalence of 10.3% ASB among the participants.

Table 1 showed the distribution of ASB based on age group in years. It revealed that 3 (2.2%) of the age

group 19-23 years of participants were positive for ASB, while age groups 24-28 and 29-33 years, showed 7 (5.1%) and 2 (1.5%) respectively. Similarly for age group 34-36 years, it was 2 (1.5%) positive for the ASB.

**Table 1:** Distribution of ASB based on age group in years

Age	Number examined	Number positive	Prevalence (%)
19-23	28	3	2.2
24-28	55	7	5.1
29-33	35	2	1.5
34-50	18	2	1.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.3</b>

$X^2=1.166$ ; P value = 0.761

Table 2 showed that out of the 5 single (unmarried) pregnant women screened, none was positive for ASB. While married pregnant women 14 (10.3%), were positive for ASB.

**Table 2:** Distribution of ASB according to Marital Status

Marital status	Number examined	Number positive	Prevalence (%)
Single	5	0	0
Married	131	14	10.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.3</b>

Table 3 shows the distribution of ASB among the participants in relation to occupation. It showed that the highest prevalence 5 (3.7%) of ASB, was the unemployed pregnant women followed by self-employed and civil servants; 4 (2.9%) and 3(2.2%) respectively. While the least was the students 2 (1.5%).

**Table 3:** Distribution of ASB in Relation to Occupation

Occupation	Number examined	Number positive	Prevalence (%)
Unemployed	50	5	3.7
Civil servants	22	3	2.2
Students	21	2	1.5
Self-employed	43	4	2.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.3</b>

$X^2 = 0.330$ ; P value = 0.95

Table 4 showed the distribution of ASB among pregnant women in relation to educational qualification. Women who attended only secondary school (5.2%), recorded the highest prevalence, followed by tertiary institutions while the least was (4.4%), among those who attended only primary school (0.7%).

**Table 4:** Distribution of ASB among Pregnant Women in Relation to Educational Qualification

<b>Educational qualification</b>	<b>Number examined</b>	<b>Number positive</b>	<b>Prevalence (%)</b>
Primary school	21	1	0.7
Secondary school	60	7	5.2
Tertiary institution	55	6	4.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.3</b>

$X^2 = 0.841$ ; P value = 0.657

Table 5 shows the percentage occurrence and distribution of bacteria isolated in the urine sample of all participants. Four (4) different bacteria were isolated in this study, namely; *Escherichia coli* 10 (71.4%), *Proteus mirabilis* 1 (7.1%), *Klebsiella spp* 1 (7.1%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* 2 (14.3%).

**Table 5:** Percentage Occurrence and Distribution of Bacteria Isolates in Urine of Pregnant women in the ASB study

<b>Bacterial isolates</b>	<b>Number isolated</b>	<b>Percentage Occurance(%)</b>
Escherichia coli	10	71.4
Proteus mirabilis	1	7.1
Klebsiella species	1	7.1
Staphylococcus aureus	2	14.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100</b>

Table 6 shows the distribution of ASB in relation to trimesters. Women in their second and third trimesters recorded the prevalence of 4.4% each, while those women in their first trimester had a prevalence of 1.5%.

**Table 6:** Distribution of ASB with respect to trimesters of the women

<b>Trimester</b>	<b>Number Examined</b>	<b>Number Positive</b>	<b>Prevalence(%)</b>
First	21	2	1.5
Second	56	6	4.4
Third	59	6	4.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.3</b>

$X^2 = 0.025$ ; P value = 0.987

Table 7 shows the antibiotics sensitivity pattern of bacterial isolates. Ten different antibiotics were used in this study, these include; Streptomycin, Septrin, Chloramphenicol, Sparfloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Amoxicillin, Augmentin, Gentamycin, Pefloxacin and Ofloxacin.

Sparfloxacin (85.7%) and Ciprofloxacin (85.7%) were the most sensitive, while Augmentin (28.6%) and gentamycin (28.6) were the least sensitive antibiotics to ASB.

**Table 3:** Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Isolates among Asymptomatic Bacteriuria Pregnant Women Attending Plateau State Hospital.

Bacterial Isolate	Number Isolated	S (%)	SXT (%)	CH (%)	SP (%)	CPX (%)	AM (%)	AU (%)	CN (%)	PEF (%)	OFX (%)
E. coli	10	50(50.5)	4(40.4)	5(50.0)	8(80.0)	8(80.0)	4(40.0)	3(30.0)	4(40.0)	8(80.0)	9(90.0)
P.Mirabilis	1	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.00)	1(100.0)
K. Species	1	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(3.33)
S. Aureus	2	1(50.0)	2(100.0)	0(0.0)	2(100.0)	2(100.0)	1(50.0)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)	1(100.0)	1(33.3)
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7(50.0)</b>	<b>6(42.8)</b>	<b>6(42.8)</b>	<b>12(85.7)</b>	<b>12(85.7)</b>	<b>6(42.8)</b>	<b>4(28.6)</b>	<b>4(28.6)</b>	<b>10(71.4)</b>	<b>11(78.5)</b>

KEY: E=Escherichia, P= proteus, K=klebsiella, S= Staphylococcus

S - Streptomycin

SXT - Septrin

CH - Chloramphenicol

SP - Sparfloxacin

CPX - Ciprofloxacin

AMX- Amoxicillin

AU- Augmentin

CN- Gentamycin

PEF- Pefloxacin

OFX- Ofloxacin

### Organism

*E. coli*= *Escherichia coli*

*S. aureus*=*Staphylococcus aureus*

### Discussion

From the results obtained in this study, 10.3% of the participants were positive for ASB while 89.7% were negative.

Ayoyi *et al.*, (2017) reported a higher prevalence (21.5%) in Kenya compared to this study. In comparison, reports in Ghana, Turpin *et al.*, (2007) revealed a prevalence of 7.3% while Hazhir (2007) reported a prevalence rate of 6.1% in Teheran. In Mexico, Hernandez *et al.* (2007) reported a

prevalence of 8.4% while Tadesse *et al.*, (2007) reported a prevalence of 9.8% in Ethiopia. Far lower prevalence (3.3%) was reported by Irajian and Moghadas, (2009). Prevalence rate as high as 40% (Akinola *et al.*, 2012) have also been reported. In contrast to this study, these differences in prevalence rates may have been due to the difference in study population, sample sizes, sampling technique or selection criteria used in the studies.

Considering the effect of maternal age of participants on pregnancy in ASB, this study did not establish any significant relationship on ASB in pregnancy and maternal age ( $P=0.761$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ) as the highest frequency of the bacteriuria occur in patients of age group 24-28years (5.1%); this is lower compared to the report of Turpin *et al.*, (2007) and Amadi *et al.*, (2007) who reported a high prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women aged 35 to 39 years. In this study age group 24-28years with the highest frequency of ASB is a sexually active age group, and therefore are at higher risk of contacting urinary tract infection. This has been reported in other studies (Stamm and Hooton, 1993; Ronald, 2002; Sescon *et al.*, 2003; Colgan *et al.*, 2006).

In comparison between married women and singles (unmarried) women in this study, married women 14 (10.3%) had ASB while singles (unmarried) had none. This finding is lower compared to study by Essien *et al.*, (2015) who reported 10.7% among the singles and 13.6% among married women. The reduce prevalence may be due to increased awareness on UTI amongst women.

In this study, the finding of high prevalence of ASB in second (4.4%) and third trimester (4.4%) women agrees with the findings of Alghalibi *et al.*, (2007) who worked on bacterial urinary tract infection among second and third trimesters pregnant women in Yemen. This is however did not agree to the findings of Turpin *et al.*, (2007) who reported a high percentage of asymptomatic bacteriuria in the first and early second trimesters of pregnancy. In this study, the high frequency in the second and third trimester may be as a result of the pressure effect of a bigger uterus on the ureter at the second and third trimester, and also the increasing smooth muscle relaxing effect of pregnancy hormones on the pressure of the bladder from descending this may lead to stasis of urine, which will encourage bacterial

multiplication (Lawani *et al.*, 2005). However, the relationship of trimester and urinary tract infection in this study is not statistically significant ( $P=0.987$ ;  $P\leq 0.05$ ).

In this study, the most common bacterial isolates from midstream urine samples of asymptomatic pregnant women were *E. coli* (71.4%) followed by staphylococcus aureus (14.3%), and lastly Klebsiella species and *Proteus mirabilis* which accounted for (7.1%). In studies done elsewhere, Amala and Nwokah, (2015) and Obirikorang *et al.*, (2012) in separate studies also reported *E. coli* as being the commonest pathogen responsible for bacteriuria which is consistent with the findings of this study. Different studies done by Delzell and Lefevre (2000), Colgan *et al.*, (2006), Turpin *et al.*, (2007), Hernandez *et al.*, (2007) and Hazhir (2007) have all shown *E. coli* as the most common isolate. High risk of acquiring *E. coli* urinary tract infection could be due to the anatomical and the physiological changes that occur during pregnancy and the fact anatomical proximity of the anal and urogenital opening in females makes it possible for fecal contamination of the urinary tract from commensals of the bowel of which *E. coli* is a typical example and since most *E. coli* strains and other bacteria prefer that environment, they are able to persist and cause UTI.

In term of sensitivity pattern, Sparfloxacin and ciprofloxacin (85.7%) had the highest sensitivity while Augmentin and Gentamycin (28.6%) had the least sensitivity. The variation in the sensitivity pattern of isolates might be due to antibiotic abuse and self-medication.

## Conclusion

The study revealed 10.3% prevalence of ASB among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Plateau State Specialist hospital Jos. The most predominant organism was *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of test organism revealed that

Sparfloxacin and Ciprofloxacin are the antibiotics of choice for the treatment of ASB in this study. Early diagnosis and treatment of urinary tract infection during pregnancy can ensure the safety of the mother and fetus and also prevent complication during delivery.

## References

1. Ajayi AB, Nwabuisi C, Aboyeji AP, et al. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal patients in Ilorin, Nigeria. *Oman Medical Journal* 27 (2012): 31.
2. Al-Ghalibi SM, Al-Moayad E, Al-Jaufy A. Bacterial urinary tract infection among pregnant women in Sana'a City Yemen. *Arab Gulf Journal of Scientific Research* (1989) 25 (2007): 23-31.
3. Alvarez JR, Fechner AJ, Williams SF, et al. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregestational diabetic pregnancies and the role of group B streptococcus. *American Journal of Perinatology* 27 (2010): 231-234.
4. Amala SE, Nwokah EG. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal in Port Harcourt Township, Nigeria and antibiogram of isolated bacteria. *Am J Biomed Sci* 7 (2015): 125-133.
5. Amadi ES, Enemuo OB, Uneke CJ, et al. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Journal of Medical Science* 7 (2007): 698-700.
6. Asscher AW, Sussman M, Waters WE, et al. Urine as a medium for bacterial growth. *Lancet* (1966): 1037-1041.
7. Ayoyi AO, Kikvi G, Bii C, et al. Prevalence, aetiology and antibiotic sensitivity profile of asymptomatic bacteriuria isolates from pregnant women in selected antenatal clinic from Nairobi, Kenya. *Pan African Medical Journal* 26 (2017): 1-2.
8. Chaliha C, Stanton SL. Urological problems in pregnancy. *BJU International* 89 (2002):469-476.
9. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; Approved standards 10th ed CLSI document M02-A10. Wayne PA. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (Supplement 16) (2011) :30-120.
10. Colgan R, Nicolle LE, McGlone A, et al. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults. *American Family Physician* 74(2006): 985-990
11. Coulthard MG, Kalra M, Lambert HJ, et al. Redefining urinary tract infections by bacterial colony counts. *Pediatrics* 125 (2010): 335-341.
12. Daniel I. Jos and Plateau. Cliff Missen. Available at <http://www.widernet.org/Josproject/JosPlateau.html> (2002).
13. Delzell JE, Lefevre MI. Urinary tract infection during pregnancy. *American Academy of Family physicians* 61 (2000):713-721.
14. Essien UC, Iheukwumere CC, Davou GI, et al. Prevalence and Predictors of Asymptomatic Urinary Tract Infection Among HIV Positive Patients In Jos, North Central Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Microbiology Clinic of North America* 35 (2015): 235-248.
15. Gribble RK, Meier PR, Rerg RL. The value of urine screening for glucose at each prenatal visit. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 86 (1995):405-410.
16. Hazhir S. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnant Women. *Urology Journal (Tehran)* 4 (2007): 24-27.
17. Hernandez BF, Lopez Carmona JM, Rodriguez Moctezuma JR, et al.



- Asymptomatic bacteriuria frequency in pregnant women and uropathogen invitro antimicrobial sensitivity. *Gynaecology Obstetrics Mexico* 75 (2007): 325-331.
18. Hodder BW. "Tin Mining on the Jos Plateau of Nigeria". *Economic Geography* 35 (1959): 109.
  19. Imade PE, Izekor PE, Eghafona NO, et al. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women. *North American Journal of Medical Science* 2 (2010): 263-266.
  20. Irajian G, Moghadas AJ. Asymptomatic urinary tract infection in pregnant women. *Iranian Journal of Pathology* 4 (2009): 105-108.
  21. Lawani EU, Alade T, Oyelaran D. Urinary Tract Infection Amongst Pregnant Women In Amassoma, Southern Nigeria. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 9 (2015): 355-359.
  22. Manjula NG. Incidence of Urinary Tract Infections and its Aetiological Agents among Pregnant women in Karnataka Region. *Advances in Microbiology* 3 (2013): 473-478.
  23. Matuszkiewicz-Rowińska J, Małyszko J, Wieliczko, M. Urinary tract infections in pregnancy: old and new unresolved diagnostic and therapeutic problems. *Archives of Medical Science* 11 (2015): 67-77.
  24. Mitchell G, Manoj KB, Dorothe P. Renal and Urinary Tract Disorders in pregnancy, Current Obstetric and Gynaecologic Diagnosis and Treatment. California, McGraw Hill 9 (2003): 422-426.
  25. Nath G, Chaudhary M, Prakash J. Urinary Tract Infection During Pregnancy And Fetal Outcome. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* 14 (1996): 158-160.
  26. Obirikorang C, Quaye L, Bio FY, et al. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at the University Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana. *Journal of Medical Biomed Science* 1 (2012): 38-44.
  27. Plateau State, People and Culture. Plateau State. Gov.Org. Available at [http://www.Plateau State.Gov.Org/history/People-cul.html](http://www.PlateauState.Gov.Org/history/People-cul.html) (2004).
  28. Ronald A. The etiology of urinary tract infection: Traditional and Emerging pathogens. *American Journal of Medicine* 113 (2002): 14-19.
  29. Sescon NC, Garingalao-Molina FD, Ycasiano CJ, et al. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and associated risk factors in pregnant women. *Philippines Journal of Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 32 (2003): 63-69.
  30. Schnarr J, Smaill F. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Symptomatic Urinary Tract Infections in Pregnancy. *European Journal of Clinical Investigations* 38 (2008): 50-57.
  31. Stamm WE, Hooton TM. Management of urinary tract infections in Adults. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 329 (1993): 1328-1334.
  32. Tadesse A, Negash M, Ketema LS. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria In Pregnancy: Assessment Of Prevalence, Microbial Agents And Their Antimicrobial Sensitivity Pattern In Gondar Teaching Hospital, North West Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Medical Journal* 45 (2007): 143-149.
  33. Taiwo SS, Adegbite II, Adofioye OA. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria In Osogbo With Special Reference To Staphylococcus Saprophyticus. *Africa Journal Infectious Disease* 3 (2009): 8-10.
  34. Turpin CA, Minkah B, Danso KA. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at Komfo

- Anokye Teaching Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana.  
Ghana Medical Journal 41 (2007): 26-29.
35. Washington CW, Elmer WK, Konamon R,  
Stephen DA. Williams, M., Koneman's

Colour Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic  
Microbiology 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Baltimore, Lippincott  
Williams & Wilkins (2006): 431-52.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the  
[Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY\) license 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)